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1.0 INTRODUCTION

Numerous school districts throughout Colorado and beyond are embracing sustainability as a tool to enhance the resiliency of their organizations, reduce costs, benefit the learning environment, drive innovation, and preserve valuable environmental qualities. Integrating sustainability into a vision/policy, district operations, staff roles, curriculum, and other areas enables a school district to minimize unsustainable practices. It also can simultaneously motivate staff, teachers, and students to adopt innovative changes in practice that often lead to improved performance and cost savings while integrating sustainability into a district’s organizational culture and curriculum.

Denver Public Schools (DPS) finds itself in a time of rapid change – and challenge – not only in the capacity of the District’s own resources, but also in the changing world around it, from energy and climate concerns to preparing students for the future. Recent economic challenges have pushed the District to seek ways to be more resourceful, while rising energy prices and resource limitations paint an uncertain future.

Yet amid these challenges and uncertainties there are great opportunities for DPS. In many respects, these challenges and uncertainties have already prompted the District to become more energy and resource efficient and to cut operating costs. Beyond these efficiencies, the District also can seek ways to build on its already successful partnerships and collaborations with the community to educate and involve District students, teachers, and staff in energy, water, and resource saving and sustainability opportunities. Not only can these and other strategies help reduce DPS’s impact on the environment, they can provide many additional benefits to the District, from sustaining a healthy and productive learning and working environment to preparing students for the future.

Funded with a grant from the Colorado Governor’s Energy Office, this Sustainability Management Plan (SMP) has been developed to take stock of DPS’s progress toward sustainability to date and create a cohesive plan for the District to effectively manage energy, resource use, waste production, and other practices to reduce impacts and generate cost savings while also supporting students, teachers, and staff. The overall purpose of this SMP is to create a road map of sustainability for DPS and to provide an overall framework for sustainability that includes key goals, strategies, and actions to support sustainability in District facilities, operations, and curriculum.

The remainder of this SMP provides an overview of the development process, a snapshot of the District’s current sustainability practices, and a framework for action to further sustainability in the District. The goals and strategies identified in the SMP have been developed around five focus areas identified by District staff and key community stakeholders:

- Conserve Resources: Energy, Water, Climate, and Green Building
- Close Loops: Waste and Procurement
- Use Land Wisely and for Multiple Benefits
- Prepare Students for the Future
- Support Employees
In addition, the SMP outlines goals and strategies within each focus area for achieving progress. Finally, the SMP presents an approach to implementation that looks at staffing, partnering, funding, measurement and reporting, and avenues for moving beyond District operations to the community as a whole.

### 1.1 The Case for an SMP

An SMP is, in essence, a road map that is a foundation for planning and action for sustainability. It defines and illustrates an organizational philosophy toward sustainability through established vision/policy, goals, strategies, and metrics. Many other Colorado school districts, institutions, organizations, and companies have embarked on efforts to develop SMPs to improve practices associated with energy use, transportation, solid waste, water consumption, and other areas. While the driving forces for many of these efforts have been to reduce energy consumption and environmental impacts as well as to save money, implementing an SMP offers many other benefits to DPS’s students, teachers, and staff. Below is a list of some of the benefits that DPS can expect from implementing the strategies in this SMP:

- Reduced District energy costs for heating, cooling, and lighting
- Reduced District bus and other fleet motor vehicle fuel costs
- Reduced vulnerability to energy price increases and volatility
- Reduced peak energy demand
- Reduced waste and increase landfill diversion rates
- Reduced water consumption
- Guidance for constructing high-performing schools and other buildings
- Guidance to prepare students for the future with engagement and leadership opportunities
- Guidance for teachers and employees to contribute to District sustainability efforts
- A great example for the community

This Plan integrates top-down concepts (e.g., vision, policy, and management commitment) with bottom-up practices (e.g., lighting retrofits, water efficiency measures, solid waste practices, etc.) that can provide both early near-term benefits for DPS as well as tools for continual and long-term success. Broadly speaking, this SMP is a vehicle that will help move DPS systematically toward sustainability in its operations. It serves to focus attention and action at all levels on critical environmental, economic, and social issues. It creates efficiencies and standardization among programs and provides a shared decision-making and problem-solving framework. It is a logical step for an organization that is serious about uniting its various existing sustainability practices under a tangible and measurable long-term sustainability program. In addition to the direct resource efficiency improvements and support for staff and students DPS can expect from more sustainable practices, the SMP offers many other organizational benefits:

- Provides guidance for decision-making
- Provides a foundation for planning and action
- Influences changes in the workplace and classroom
- Creates efficiencies, synergies, and consistencies among programs leading to cost savings
- Shifts thinking from incremental to breakthrough
- Inspires commitment
• Creates visible management support and a unifying theme
• Reflects organizational style and culture
• Positions school districts to adapt to changes and take advantage of emerging opportunities
• Provides an example for the community and other school districts nationwide

Figure 1 describes the overarching tenants of action framed by an SMP, including vision and policy, planning, implementation actions, confirmation, and management. Built from the Deming quality model of “plan-do-check-act,” the SMP starts an ongoing cyclical process aimed at both continuous improvement and long-term thinking surrounding sustainability.

FIGURE 1. PLAN-DO-CHECK-ACT CYCLE
1.2 DPS’s SMP Development Process
The process for developing this SMP involved a number of steps:

- Conducting a baseline inventory
- Administering a web-based, District-wide survey
- Facilitating several meetings and workshops with an SMP Executive Committee comprised of individuals from the District and community
- Convening and facilitating a number of group interviews
- Formulating a vision/policy statement and goals that support sustainability
- Developing strategies informed by these elements and the baseline inventory

As shown in Figure 2, the SMP was developed using both a top-down and bottom-up approach. A vision, draft policy, and goals were developed from the top down to guide the process and inform strategies while the results of the inventory, survey, interviews, and SMP Executive Committee workshops were used to build a bottom-up foundation for implementing the strategies, including action steps and partnerships. The top-down and bottom-up approach allows full vertical integration, linking grassroots efforts in daily operations to cumulative goals in District policy in sustainability.

FIGURE 2. DPS SMP APPROACH

Overall, the SMP development process spanned approximately 1 year and included preparing the baseline inventory, developing SMP components, and preparing and reviewing the document (Figure 3).
FIGURE 3. SMP DEVELOPMENT TIMELINE

COLLABORATION PROCESS

Development of this SMP included collaboration with DPS’s SMP Executive Committee. Members consisted of knowledgeable and interested stakeholders from within and outside of the District able to validate the inventory process, identify data sources, document existing District sustainability practices, help craft focus areas and goals, and develop next steps. This committee met to achieve the following:

- Kick off the project and establish a forum for the collaborative tasks ahead
- Recommend a vision, focus areas, and goals that are uniquely suited to DPS and that will guide forward progress on sustainability
- Review and prioritize strategies for reaching established goals and measuring success

In addition to the SMP Executive Committee meetings, six group interviews (documented in Appendix A) spanning several District departments were conducted with DPS staff members, community representatives, and the Student Board of Education (Table 1). These interviews were designed to engage District staff and students and to give them a forum to discuss good things already happening related to sustainability as well as opportunities for improved sustainability in their unique areas of work or student life.
In addition to the group interviews, a web-based survey was administered to DPS staff and teachers, as well as to select community stakeholders and students, to collect their input on current best practices, challenges, and opportunities for improvement for sustainability in the District’s operations, curriculum, training, and other areas. In total, the 144 survey respondents spanned several stakeholder groups. Data from the survey was used to frame SMP strategies and to identify opportunities and challenges for implementation, from how best to motivate and involve staff and students to specific ideas for implementation (Table 2). More detailed documentation of survey results is provided in Appendix B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interview Group</th>
<th>Topic Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facility Management</td>
<td>Existing practices and opportunities for energy and water, waste/recycling, green teams, procurement, and other topic areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Resources, Risk Management, Chief Financial Officer</td>
<td>Existing practices and opportunities in human resources practices, communications, health and safety, building maintenance, and other topic areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities</td>
<td>Resource efficiency opportunities, facility and equipment maintenance and scheduling, facility design, employee training, and other topic areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Existing practices and opportunities for sustainability in District bus fleet, other vehicles, and staff and student commuting practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Board of Education</td>
<td>Preparing students for the future, sustainability opportunities in schools from a student perspective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education and Community</td>
<td>Opportunities for community partnerships, preparing students for the future</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 2. SUMMARY OF RESULTS FROM DISTRICT SURVEY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Survey Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Existing Practices**       | • **Doing Well**: Building lighting systems, indoor water use, solid waste/recycling, food services, and landscaping  
                                 • **Room for Improvement**: Education/training of staff on sustainability, HVAC  
                                 • **Don’t Know**: Outdoor water use, renewable energy, District buses and other vehicles, green purchasing, computers/IT, cleaning, and curriculum |
| **Greatest Opportunities**   | • Integrate sustainability into new buildings/major renovations  
                                 • Use more renewable energy  
                                 • Program lights and equipment  
                                 • Change water fixtures and equipment  
                                 • Use more fuel-efficient buses and vehicles  
                                 • Implement recycling in lunchrooms as well as composting  
                                 • Develop internships for students  
                                 • Integrate sustainability into the curriculum  
                                 • Improved communication |
| **Greatest Challenges in Implementing the SMP** | • Lack of up-front funding, even if strategies will save money  
                                 • Lack of teacher/staff time  
                                 • No clear leaders to take charge of implementation  
                                 • Change District-level tools being used  
                                 • Make the SMP a priority from the top down.  
                                 • Must be a District “EPMP” goal.  
                                 • Need to implement existing policies  
                                 • Engage families in the process to benefit them at home too |

Finally, the District also created a dedicated web page on the DPS sustainability web site (sustainability.dpsk12.org/management plan) to provide transparency during development of the SMP and to facilitate the awareness and involvement of a larger group in the process. This web page houses meeting notes, presentation materials, interview notes, and other SMP resources so that staff and community members can be informed throughout the process.
2.0 BASELINE INVENTORY

To develop a baseline sustainability inventory for the District, DPS and the consultant worked together to collect data; compile information on existing practices; and develop a baseline characterization of District-wide energy and water consumption, solid waste generation and diversion rate, and fuel consumption from fleet transportation activities. The inventory establishes a baseline from which to measure DPS’s progress toward sustainability from year to year and includes a calculation of the District’s associated greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. The year 2010 was selected as the baseline year for the inventory as it was the most recent year for which complete data were available. (Note: any updates to the inventory in subsequent years should, for completeness, align data between fiscal year and calendar year. The overall impact of this alignment should be nominal.)

2.1 Methodology

Sources of information for the inventory included utility records and DPS databases; these sources are summarized in Appendix C. Associated GHG emissions were calculated using an approach consistent with The Climate Registry’s General Reporting Protocol. The following specific data were collected to develop the inventory:

Energy

- Monthly electricity consumption (kilowatt hour [kWh]) and cost (as well as electricity generated by DPS solar panels to offset the District’s consumption)

Water

- Monthly water consumption (gallons [gal]) and cost

Sewer

- Monthly water consumption (gallons [gal]) and cost

Waste

- Annual solid waste generation (tons) and cost
- Annual diversion to recycling (tons) and cost
- Annual diversion to compost (tons) and cost

Transportation

- Monthly fuel consumption by fuel type (gallons [gal]) and cost

The GHG inventory includes carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), and nitrous oxide (N₂O). Units of carbon dioxide equivalent (CO₂e) were used to normalize the global warming potential (GWP) of the various GHGs. As portrayed in Figure 4, the emission of 1 ton of N₂O has a GWP 310 times larger than that of the emission of 1 metric ton of CO₂. Similarly, the emission of 1 metric ton of CH₄ has a GWP 21 times that of CO₂. To avoid confusion between emissions of the different types of gases and their respective GWPs, all emissions are reduced to the common unit of CO₂e. Thus, the emission of 1 metric
ton of N₂O is expressed as the emission of 310 metric tons of CO₂e. Metric tons of CO₂e are labeled as MTCO₂e.

FIGURE 4. UNITS OF GHG REPRESENTATION

2.2 Baseline Inventory

Table 3 summarizes the findings of the DPS baseline inventory. Recycling is represented as an activity that avoids GHG emissions at the landfill by diverting materials that would potentially decompose from the waste stream. The emissions avoided as a result of the District’s solar photovoltaic (PV) systems are also included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emission Source*</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Emissions (MTCO₂e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>92,545,183</td>
<td>kWh</td>
<td>$8,602,708</td>
<td>80,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>6,869,889</td>
<td>therms</td>
<td>$4,254,868</td>
<td>36,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleet Fuels</td>
<td>681,000</td>
<td>gallons</td>
<td>$1,757,029</td>
<td>6,819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste</td>
<td>6,210</td>
<td>tons</td>
<td>$263,450</td>
<td>negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>499,133</td>
<td>thousand gallons</td>
<td>$1,541,307</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer</td>
<td>93,548</td>
<td>thousand gallons</td>
<td>$307,417</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$16,797,429</td>
<td>125,159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Utilities data for calendar year 2010; fleet fuels and solid waste are for fiscal year 2010.
**Insufficient information on numerous wastewater treatment systems serving DPS to estimate GHG emissions from wastewater treatment.
### Other Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Activities</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Emissions (MTCO₂e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recycling</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>tons</td>
<td>$70,650</td>
<td>Significant upstream emissions avoided by recycling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Photovoltaic Systems</td>
<td>485,542</td>
<td>kWh</td>
<td>-$13,110</td>
<td>344 (avoided)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

Figure 5 shows the sources for the District’s GHG emissions for 2010, which are estimated at 125,159 metric tons (MT)CO₂e. Electricity, as the dominant source of energy consumption in the district, comprises the largest share of emissions, followed by natural gas and fleet fuels. Not displayed in this pie chart are solid waste, water, and propane, all of which comprise relatively smaller portions of total emissions.

**FIGURE 5. DPS 2010 GHG EMISSIONS**

Table 4 provides a comparison of DPS’s GHG emissions to two other Colorado school districts on a normalized basis of both emissions per square feet of building space and per student.
## TABLE 4. GHG EMISSIONS PER SQUARE FOOT AND PER STUDENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School District</th>
<th>Metric Tons CO2e Per Square Foot of Building Space</th>
<th>Metric Tons CO2e Per Student</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poudre School District</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulder Valley School District</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver Public Schools</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.0 EXISTING PRACTICES

The GHG inventory, interviews, and surveys were used to collect information on the District’s existing practices related to sustainability. In developing this SMP, it is important to note that DPS is not starting from scratch with respect to its sustainability efforts. These existing practices, further detailed in Appendix A from interviews for the process, serve as a basis for identifying future opportunities and prioritizing strategies. In particular, the District already has undertaken a number of efforts to make its operations more efficient (Table 5). In addition, the District already has an established Department of Sustainability, which is overseeing several current sustainability projects and initiatives and communicating results through newsletters, its website, and sustainability reports.

**TABLE 5. HIGHLIGHTS OF EXISTING DPS SUSTAINABILITY PRACTICES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Use, Energy and Water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geo-exchange HVAC systems are in place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vastly improved heating equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 schools with solar PV arrays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80% percent of schools covered by a T-8 lighting retrofit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities managers trained on energy efficiency issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable frequency drives (VFDs) for fans and pumps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting occupancy sensors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnership with Xcel Energy and the City and County of Denver on Energy Challenge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinated and regularly updated equipment and occupancy scheduling of all year round programs with Integrated Building Automation Assistance Team (IBAST)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effort to expand central equipment controls to all the schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toilet, faucet, and urinal replacements – in 5 years, all 5,000 fixtures in the District will be replaced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambitious goals around landscape, starting with bond funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automated irrigation controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System of checking sprinkler heads and fixture water leaks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing high-performing buildings, including the Denver Green School and Evie Garrett Dennis Campus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Staff, Student, and Teacher Training and Engagement

| Professional development programs that support sustainability training as needed |
| Trade-related classes focused on project management; hands on and also awareness |
| Trade-related training that incorporates maintenance; for example, custodial staff can change a ballast |
| Focus on performance improvement and coaching |
| Alliance for Climate Education middle and high school presentations and green and environmental clubs |
| Numerous sustainability champions in the District; parents, facilities managers, and wellness committees |
| Scheduling for daily maintenance work been transitioned from “fighting fires” to site-based approach |
| Students educated on energy use and renewable energy and engaged in the Energy Challenge; many green teams have formed; students engaged in energy audit teams |
| Behavior and education on carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions |
| Meaningful work/career training experiences for special education students |
| Green Internship programs to work in energy and outdoor industries |
| Denver School Garden Coalition that includes 30 school-based community gardens and education around eating healthy |
| Butterfly garden with young students |

## Materials

| Working to minimize paper use through e-faxing use and online registration processes for professional development |
| Largely eliminated bleach use in custodial services |
| Green product demonstrations and training |
| Recycling programs free for every school and administrative building |
| Denver Recycles in all but four schools; composting in a growing number of schools (14 schools at the time of this publication) |
| One hundred percent compostable and made of recyclable content paper towels |
| One hundred percent paperless employee applications; also for many workers compensation claims |
| Reusable trays in cafeterias; cafeteria recycling, composting activities |
| Recycling of specialty items, including textiles, paper tower dispensers, etc. |

### Transportation/Vehicles

- Idling tracking and automatic shutoff of newer buses
- Route planning optimization using driver feedback, software, and global positioning systems (GPS)
- Some hybrid cars
- Investigation of fleet fluids and solvents; vetting materials with vendors
- Use of biodiesel in summer months
- Regional Transportation District (RTD) passes for high school students
- Participation in Safe Routes to School to encourage walking
- New employee training includes carpooling

### Land Use

- School gardens and greenhouses
- Healthy food education for kids
- Integrated pest management approaches
- Schoolyards redevelopment project with new learning landscape playgrounds
- Urban farm feasibility study
4.0 SMP FRAMEWORK

Central to the SMP is the framework presented on the following pages. This framework brings together the various SMP components – from its vision and policy to goals and supporting strategies – into one cohesive plan for sustainability in the District.

4.1 Framework Focus Areas

Table 6 summarizes the SMP in a framework that organizes the District’s approach to sustainability into five main focus areas that were identified through a review of existing DPS sustainability goals, a facilitated process, and a survey of the SMP Executive Committee. The framework offers a snapshot of these focus areas, along with short-term (5 year) and long-term (10 year) goals, strategies, funding, and external partnerships that will enable DPS to achieve these goals. These five focus areas are defined below:

- Conserve Resources: Energy, Water, Climate, Green Building
- Close Loops: Waste and Procurement
- Use Land Wisely and for Multiple Benefits
- Prepare Students for the Future
- Support Employees

It is important to note that this initial version of the SMP was developed using a linear process of conducting an inventory, developing a vision and policy, and crafting goals and strategies. The SMP, however, is intended to be maintained using a cyclical process of continuous improvement, which involves annually measuring and reporting progress, as well as re-evaluating short-term goals and strategies and making refinements as needed (Figure 6).

FIGURE 6. ANNUAL UPDATE PROCESS FOR THE PLAN
4.2 Framework Terms

The following definitions provide for a common and shared understanding of the framework among District staff, students, and stakeholders:

Focus Areas: Priority areas determined by the SMP Executive Committee as themes under which goals and strategies are organized.

Goals: Short-term and long-term goals that embody achieving progress toward sustainability within the District. For DPS, the timeline for short-term goals is 5 years or less, while the long-term timeline is 10 years.

Cross-cutting Topics: Topics that can leverage and support all focus areas, goals, and strategies in the SMP.

Strategies: The main paths for achieving goals in each focus area – for example, energy efficiency improvements (under Conserve Resources focus area).

Implementation Steps: A more specific subset of a strategy taken from the list of opportunities that the District is committed to implementing within a set period of time.

Metrics: Quantitative indicators that are used to track and report progress toward sustainability for specific focus areas, goals, and strategies.
**DENVER PUBLIC SCHOOLS SUSTAINABILITY MANAGEMENT PLAN**

**TABLE 6. FRAMEWORK FOR THE SMP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vision and Policy</th>
<th>Focus Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long-term (10-year goals)</td>
<td><strong>• Reduce greenhouse gas emissions from electricity use by 15%.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term (5-year goals)</td>
<td><strong>• Complete Energy Star benchmark for all applicable facilities.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategies</td>
<td><strong>• Partnerships: Leverage existing and future partnerships for all focus areas.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metrics</td>
<td><strong>• Communication: Communicate internally and externally to build participation, coordinate efforts, and share success.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td><strong>• Reduction in water use (kgals)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>• Percent of energy inventory for building use from alternative energy resources</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>• Comparison of energy use to comparable buildings based on kBTU/sf/yr</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>• Vehicle miles traveled for students and employees</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>• Percent of fleet that uses hybrid or alternative energy source</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Implementation Platform: Monitoring and Reporting**
4.3 Vision and Policy

Vision

To provide a defining vision for sustainability that supports not only this SMP effort but other District sustainability activities and its collaboration with the broader community, the following is the vision for the SMP:

"Denver Public Schools will be a leader in the stewardship of people and resources for future generations and champion sustainability solutions for students and the community."

This vision anchors the remaining components of the SMP and provides direction for developing goals, implementing strategies, creating partnerships, and involving the entire District in moving the SMP forward.

Policy

To complement the SMP vision, the District also has developed a draft policy. While visions are intended to be motivational and inspirational, policy is used to provide more formal institutional recognition of the SMP and adoption of the practices it embodies. Policy becomes the driving force for a program or plan, providing both formal top management support and a unifying theme for District staff and teachers. Elements of a strong policy statement that provide guidance for moving forward include the following:

- Implies visible management support
- Reflects organizational culture and style
- Is consistent with other organizational policies
- Applies to all major operations
- States beliefs and intentions (what), not ways for meeting intentions (how)
- Provides direction for decision-making
- Provides a foundation for planning and action
- Is documented and clearly communicated to all employees
- Drives change in the workplace
- Inspires commitment
- Serves as a unifying theme

The following is a proposed draft policy to support the SMP. Additional steps will be necessary to finalize this policy, including collaboration among the District’s Department of Sustainability, the Office of the Superintendent, and other departments.

“Denver Public Schools recognizes sustainability as a guiding principle in preparing students for the future and believes that our education system should practice an ethic of sustainability in all of its practices. The District defines sustainability as meeting the educational, environmental, social, and economic needs of present generations without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. We will accomplish this through a comprehensive management plan that provides for clear leadership and monitoring of the plan and seeks District-wide involvement and ownership of sustainability as a model for everyday education and business.”
4.4 Goals

Important components of the SMP framework are the goals that DPS developed for marking progress toward sustainability. These goals will serve as yardsticks to which the District will strive, both over the near term as well as into the future. These goals were developed to address the five focus areas of the framework. As goals are revisited in the future, additional topics can be added to the framework. It is also important to note that the District Department of Sustainability has already developed goals to address various aspects of the Conserve Resources focus area. These are included below in the SMP to integrate them into the District’s broader sustainability framework.

Goals are further distinguished between 5-year, short-term goals and long-term, 10-year goals that embody achieving true sustainability around the District. Long-term goals are intended to keep DPS on a continual path of improvement toward sustainability, employing new methodologies and technologies as they evolve.

Short-term goals generally are intended to follow a “SMART” format, ensuring that the short-term goals contain specific, measurable, achievable, realistic, and timely elements. This SMART short-term goal guidance is designed to facilitate goals that are actionable and that can be achieved relatively easily over the short term.

\[ S = \text{Specific} \]
Specific short-term goals are more likely to be achieved than general short-term goals. Answer who, what (to be accomplished), where (location, if applicable), and why (specific reasons or purpose of short-term goal).

\[ M = \text{Measurable} \]
Establish concrete criteria for measuring progress toward each short-term goal. Answer how much, how many, and/or how will we know when it is accomplished?

\[ A = \text{Attainable} \]
Set short-term goals within reach in order to garner commitment and to increase the likelihood of success.

\[ R = \text{Realistic} \]
Short-term goals should fit with the overall strategy and priorities of the organization, and the tools needed to accomplish the short-term goals should be available.

\[ T= \text{Time-bound} \]
Set a time frame for each short-term goal that is measurable, attainable, and realistic (e.g., next month, in 3 months, by 2015, etc.).

The SMP’s short-term and long-term goals are summarized in the next section by Focus Area.

4.5 Strategies

The strategies presented here were developed on the basis of input from District stakeholders, review and analysis of building assessments, and best practices from other cities and organizations. These strategies were developed for each focus area to support the goals outlined in the Plan framework. It is important to recall the preceding introductions and descriptions of goals and strategies in this document (e.g., Figure 6, Section 4.4, etc.). In particular, the goals represent the greater outcomes of an SMP, and the strategies to support these goals will be revised and updated as part of a recurring and cyclical maintenance process. This document reflects the prioritized strategies for DPS’ first maintenance cycle.
and knowingly does not include a top prioritized strategy for every goal. In part, this method is employed to limit the number of top prioritized strategies that DPS is committed to in the first maintenance cycle in order to gauge the District’s potential for managing numerous top strategies. However, to achieve the articulated goals (especially the short-term goals), associated strategies will need to be prioritized and developed further in subsequent maintenance cycles. For this purpose, second tier (based on the input of this process) strategies are briefly listed with each focus area.

Top tier strategies were prioritized using the expertise and input of SMP Executive Committee members. Many opportunities that relate to potential strategies were collected throughout the SMP development process; prioritization was then used to help focus efforts on the most relevant topics. This approach involved taking into consideration the overall mix of strategies and keeping a number of emerging themes in mind:

- Cost effectiveness
- Doing better instead of doing more (quality vs. quantity)
- Existing District goals, practices, and sustainability projects
- Leveraging existing District partnerships

Before examining the initial list of potential strategies, SMP Executive Committee members determined the most important criteria to use in ranking the strategies. Of the following criteria, committee members identified the first two (result in multiple wins/have management support) as the most important in their screening process:

- Enable multiple wins
- Have management support
- Promote health and well-being
- Cost effective
- Visible to the District and/or community
- Effective in reducing GHG emissions
- Easy to implement
- Timely
- Low up-front initial costs

Once multiple wins and management support were identified as the primary guiding factors for prioritization, strategies were developed based on review of group interview notes, documentation from SMP Executive Committee meetings, and review of all survey results (Table 7). Strategies are organized by focus areas and associated goals of the SMP framework and are presented with general strategy descriptions; sub-level implementation steps; and a discussion of benefits, costs, and metrics. When applicable, context for benefits and costs was provided for all strategies to inform District decision making.

It should also be noted that the strategies listed in the SMP represent a handful of key ideas and themes that were elevated to top priorities for this planning cycle. Many other opportunities around which future strategies can be developed were identified and are documented in Appendix A. This SMP is intended to be a living document that will be maintained to ensure that these first identified priorities
are implemented and to provide guidance in developing new strategies from the other documented opportunities in future planning cycles as depicted in Figure 6.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus Area</th>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Strategy 1-1: Implement Energy and Water Efficiency Projects</td>
<td>By prioritizing energy and water conservation projects in conjunction with utility, environmental and health focused partners, continue to reduce energy and water use in District facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Strategy 1-2: Develop Sustainable Design Guidelines</td>
<td>Develop and implement a comprehensive set of sustainable design guidelines for both existing buildings and new construction/renovation that reflect DPS’s culture and commitment to academic excellence and social responsibility while reducing life cycle costs in building operation and maintenance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Strategy 1-3: Develop a Sustainable Transportation Master Plan</td>
<td>Target areas such as vehicle maintenance, emission improvements, routing, procedures, and bus replacement. Through partnerships with federal, state and local agencies, enhance efficiencies to reduce vehicle miles traveled. Promote alternative transportation for students and staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Strategy 2-1: Develop an Environmentally Preferable Purchasing Program</td>
<td>Develop a District-wide, centralized environmentally preferable purchasing program. The program will address purchasing relevant to each department throughout the District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Strategy 3-1: Develop a Land Use Master Plan</td>
<td>Develop a land use master plan for District properties that incorporates a systems thinking approach to District land, including environmental, economic, and human considerations. The Master Plan will provide direction for optimizing use of land for multiple purposes, including such considerations as student learning and health and wellness, demonstration gardens, shared District/neighborhood resources, and others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus Area</td>
<td>Strategy</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 4          | Strategy 4-1: Integrate Sustainability into Curriculum and Instruction | • Take a voluntary approach to integrating sustainability into the curriculum, focusing on providing teachers the resources, support, training, and tools they need.  
• Recognize the sustainability-related themes and opportunities that already exist in current curriculum and standards.  
• Adapt and customize available curriculum-based sustainability efforts nationally and internationally in all areas of study and for all grades.  
• Acknowledge the ever-increasing requirements and time demands of teachers, principals, and administrators.  
• Integrate sustainability across grade levels and course subjects, from basic sustainability literacy to topics such as nutrition and specialized job training.  
• Tap the grassroots energy and initiative of DPS teachers, staff, parents, and community members who are already championing sustainability and sharing their knowledge with students.  
• Leverage existing community-based resources for engaging students in sustainability. |
| 5          | Strategy 5-1: Establish Employee-focused Voluntary Sustainability Training Using a Green Team Structure | Voluntary employee green teams will identify and deliver sustainability training and will support fellow employees. The District will embark on a concerted campaign to grow the number of green teams and to engage more employees in sustainability by providing them voluntary training and resources to integrate sustainability into their day-to-day work. |
FOCUS AREA 1: CONSERVE RESOURCES: ENERGY, WATER, CLIMATE, GREEN BUILDING

This focus area emphasizes a DPS commitment to reduce the District’s impact on natural resources by supporting a healthier environment through energy management, water conservation practices, and transportation practices. These actions are integral to the overall operation of the District’s fleet and its schools and other buildings as the District progresses toward green building facility management. This focus area also emphasizes the desired performance outcomes from employing sustainable design, products, and practices in new construction, renovation, operation and maintenance, from inception of the project through build out. This focus area leverages the significant related work already achieved through the District’s Department of Sustainability, especially for informing the goals and Strategy 1-1.

The short- and long-term goals for this focus area are as follows:

**Short term (5 years or less)**
- Complete Energy Star baseline registration and usage indices of all applicable DPS facilities and applicable utilities (energy and water); monitor and report.
- Successfully prepare and submit EPA documents for the Clean School Bus grant program
- Increase ridership of students and staff on RTD by 15%
- Increase participation in Safe Routes to Schools through promotion
- Develop transportation focused GHG reduction goals, both short and long term, to reduce DPS fleet affect by 15%

**Long term (in 10 years)**
- Reduce the electric energy portion of the GHG percentage by 1.5 percent for a total reduction of 15 percent in 10 years.
- Increase energy and water savings to the District through a variety of reduction and efficiency strategies by 20 percent by 2020.
- Increase overall renewable energy use by buildings and vehicles 20 percent by 2022.
- Pursue net zero energy schools.

**Potential metrics**, or ways to measure progress for this focus area, include the following:
- Reduction in water use (kgals, or thousand gallons) by site through sub-metering
- Percent of energy inventory for building use from alternative energy resources
- Number of schools qualifying for Energy Star or improving on scale
- Comparison of energy use to comparable buildings (using, for example, Energy Star, Architecture 2030: The 2030 Challenge, Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey data) based on kBtu/sf/yr
- Occupant use and comfort surveys, including before and after surveys as applicable
- Vehicle miles traveled for students and employees
- Overall yellow and white fleet fuel consumption
- Percent of fleet that uses hybrid or alternative energy source

The first strategies to be implemented for this focus area include the following:
Strategy 1-1: Implement Energy and Water Efficiency Projects

Through the prioritization of energy and water efficiency and conservation projects – in conjunction with utility, environmental, and health focused partners – DPS will continue to reduce energy and water use in District facilities. These projects will have a particular emphasis on reducing the GHG emissions associated with electric energy use.

>> Responsible Parties for This Strategy

The DPS Facility Management department will work closely with the DPS Purchasing, Denver Water, DPS utility providers, and engineering professionals.

>> Implementation Steps

- Complete baseline registration for all DPS schools under the Energy Star program.
- Establish a monitoring and reporting system for energy performance from Energy Star data.
- Expand energy audits under performance contracts with Colorado Governor’s Energy Office.
- Promote the DPS Energy Challenge and other techniques, to promote conserving resources through behavior modifications.
- Establish an incentives program.
- Audit existing water use through sub-metering to determine building and landscape use at each district facility.
- Track district facility energy use and associated GHG emissions compared to baseline.
- Investigate geo-exchange and alternative energy heating/cooling systems for District schools.

>> Resources

- EPA
  - www.epa.gov/enviroed/educate.html
  - www.epa.gov/watersense/
- Denver Water
  - www.denverwater.org
- US Department of Energy
  - energy.gov

>> Funding

- Denver Water - Incentives, Xeriscape
  - www.denverwater.org/Conservation/IncentivePrograms/
  - www.denverwater.org/Conservation/Xeriscape/XeriscapeResources/
- US Department of Energy – Alternative Fuel Buses; Wind for Schools
  - www.afdc.energy.gov/afdc/laws/law/CA/5357
  - www.windpoweringamerica.gov/schools_wfs_project.asp
**>> Benefits and Costs**

Poudre School District (PSD) provides a potential benchmark for possible benefits and costs for DPS. Initiating a water conservation program at PSD reduced overall gallons consumed for interior and landscaping use by one half in the first year. Procedural changes at PSD in the HVAC and Outdoor Services departments have reduced electric, natural gas, and water costs by approximately $500,000 per year – representing a 17 percent savings.

**Strategy 1-2: Develop Sustainable Design Guidelines**

Develop and implement a comprehensive set of sustainable design guidelines for both existing buildings and new construction/renovation that reflect the District’s culture and commitment to academic excellence and social responsibility while reducing significant costs for operation and maintenance over the lifetime of the building. Given DPS's future outlook for efforts related to this topic area, sustainable design guidelines will have a particular emphasis on existing buildings.

**>> Responsible Parties for This Strategy**

The DPS Construction Department will coordinate with the DPS Facility Department to create a diverse DPS Sustainable Design Guideline Development Team.

**>> Implementation Steps**

- Create a Sustainable Design Guideline Development Team, mission, and goals.
- Convene coordination meeting and solicit membership for the team.
- Review existing DPS building operation/maintenance, design and construction, and best management practices.
- Establish vision, mission, goals, and timeline for sustainable design guidelines.
- Decide on a guideline development strategy (DPS staff or out-sourced professional services).
- Consider incorporating third-party certification standards as performance thresholds and/or categories for existing buildings and new construction/renovation (e.g., LEED- NC, LEED EB O+M).
- Consider incorporating “buildings that teach” concepts into guidelines.
- Obtain necessary funding.
- Develop and adopt sustainable design guidelines as a working document for DPS, the construction department, and use in all bid documents.
- Monitor performance of buildings operating and built/renovated under the guidelines.
- Interview occupants of buildings operating or built/renovated under guidelines.
- Review and regularly update DPS guidelines based on lessons learned.

**>> Resources**

- US Green Building Council: [www.usgbc.org](http://www.usgbc.org)
- Collaborative for High Performance Schools: [www.chps.net](http://www.chps.net)
- Poudre School District
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- State of Minnesota
  - www.sustainabledesignguide.umn.edu/
- University of Connecticut
  - www.masterplan.uconn.edu/images/SDG.pdf

>> Funding

- Colorado Governor’s Energy Office
  - www.colorado.gov/energy/

>> Benefits and Costs

For existing buildings, strategies such as re-commissioning, proactive preventative maintenance, lighting upgrades, and retrofitting of water fixtures can result in significant cost savings as well as improved occupant productivity and comfort (see Strategy 1-1, above).

In new construction, there is often no significant difference in the construction cost to build a new facility designed to higher sustainable design standards than to conventional methods. For projects that do have costs impacts, the typical range is less than 5 percent of total cost. This is especially true if an integrated design process is used throughout the process. However, sustainably designed and constructed facilities use 25 to 30 percent less energy. Evidence also exists that suggests these methods increase building value 10 to 15 percent.

Strategy 1-3: Develop a Sustainable Transportation Master Plan

The focus on sustainable K-12 transportation intuitively begins with the traditional fleet of school buses and includes improved maintenance, procurement, routing, procedures, and scheduling. However, every school day each member of the DPS educational community of students, staff, parents, support personnel, and vendors has a collective impact upon transportation’s overall effect upon the environment. From this perspective, the range of opportunities to create sustainable changes upon traditional transportation habits is broad.

Sustaining efficiencies in fleet operations will target areas such as vehicle maintenance, emission improvements, routing, procedures, and bus replacement. Through partnerships with federal, state, and local agencies, DPS Transportation can enhance its opportunities to accomplish these efficiencies and to reduce vehicle miles traveled. Similarly, promoting alternative transportation for students and staff is relatively common and there are numerous examples of school districts initiating successful programs.

>> Responsible Parties for This Strategy

DPS Transportation and Sustainability Departments will work together with related local/regional, state and federal agencies.
>> Implementation Steps

- Increase student and staff ridership through continued partnerships with RTD.
  - Conduct survey to determine current staff and student ridership with RTD.
  - With RTD, review effectiveness of routes as they relate to DPS participation.
  - Work with RTD to develop a campaign to increase participation.

- Improve bus routing efficiency and support staff driving scheduling.
  - Review data from GPS/AVL systems currently installed on buses to develop the most efficient routes.
  - Review data from GPS/AVL systems currently installed on white fleet vehicles and compare/align with data from the work order system to determine the most effective delivery of services.
  - Use GPS for warehouse delivery routes.
  - Work with Student Services to find efficiencies with special education program placement as it relates to routing.
  - Involve transportation in Individualized Education Program (IEP) discussions.
  - Increase attention by maintenance staff to work order scheduling.
  - Review bell schedules to determine if they affect routing strategies.
  - Review placement of special programs to avoid cross town transportation.

- Create and promote programs that support alternative modes of transportation.
  - Develop an “I Walk and Ride Safely” student program.
  - Working with Colorado Department of Transportation “Safe Routes to School” coordinators, develop methods to promote, increase participation and publicize findings.
  - Develop a “Drive Less Challenge” for students and staff.
  - Investigate the TravelSmart alternative transportation program (that includes support curriculum).
  - Develop bicycle safety programs/events.

- Promote a healthier environment.
  - Consider the US Environmental Protection Agency Clean School Bus USA Program and associated grants.
  - Consider the US Environmental Protection Agency National Idle-Reduction Campaign.

- Adopt policies and procedures to reduce GHG.
  - Baseline all methods.
  - Adopt idling policy for DPS staff and parents.
  - Purchase ultra low sulfur diesel.
  - Install idle reduction devices.
  - Explore use of alternate fuel vehicles, such electric hybrids, ethanol hybrids, biodiesel, and compressed natural gas vehicles.

- Reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) by students and staff.
  - Baseline VMT.
  - Locate Staff Development classes throughout the district to reduce VMT.

- Participate in regional outreach discussions regarding sustainable development that includes topics of transportation to support development.
>> Resources

- Colorado Department of Transportation
  - [http://www.coloradodot.info](http://www.coloradodot.info)
  - [http://www.coloradodot.info/programs/bikeped/colorado-bike-month](http://www.coloradodot.info/programs/bikeped/colorado-bike-month)
  - [http://www.coloradodot.info/programs/bikeped/share-the-road](http://www.coloradodot.info/programs/bikeped/share-the-road)
- Greenprint
  - [http://www.greenprintdenver.org/transportation/](http://www.greenprintdenver.org/transportation/)
- RTD
  - [http://www.rtd-fastracks.com/main_1](http://www.rtd-fastracks.com/main_1)
- City of Denver

>> Funding

- US Environmental Protection Agency
  - [http://www.epa.gov/cleanschoolbus/funding.htm](http://www.epa.gov/cleanschoolbus/funding.htm)
  - [http://epa.gov/cleandiesel/grantfund.htm#dera](http://epa.gov/cleandiesel/grantfund.htm#dera)
  - [http://www.epa.gov/smartway/financing/dera-financing.htm](http://www.epa.gov/smartway/financing/dera-financing.htm)
- US Department of Energy Grants
  - [http://www1.eere.energy.gov/wip/sep.html](http://www1.eere.energy.gov/wip/sep.html)
- Colorado Department of Transportation

>> Benefits and Costs

Efficient routing will reduce fuel consumption, vehicle maintenance costs, and vehicle replacement costs, freeing up funds for an accelerated bus replacement program. For example, at Poudre School District, routing efficiencies and cost savings resulted in a reduction of yearly new bus replacements from 10 to 7 and retirement every 20 years instead of 30 years (new buses cost approximately $100,000).

Safe walking and bicycle riding programs encourage alternative transportation methods. For example, at Boulder Valley School District, Bear Creek was recognized for the school’s high percentage of students (70 percent) who walk and bike to school throughout the year as a result of similar programs. These programs also reduce traffic.

Alternative fuel vehicles, such as hybrid electric buses (PHEV), save money and reduce GHG emissions. Manufacturer information suggests PHEV buses can have up to 50 percent better fuel efficiency compared to standard diesel buses. The buses will also reduce GHG emissions by anywhere from 30 to 40 percent.
Second Tier Strategies for Focus Area (refer to Section 4.5 for further explanation)

- Pilot the US Environmental Protection Agency’s Indoor Air Quality Tools for Schools Program.
- Implement renewable energy projects.
- Implement strategies based on the outcome of the Transportation Master Plan.
FOCUS AREA 2: CLOSE LOOPS

This focus area emphasizes the interconnections between purchasing and disposal, recycling and recycled content material, product and product user. Closing the loops related to material flows requires a consideration of both goods and services as well as a perspective of materials entering and exiting the District. Incoming materials include those purchased as well as donated, while outgoing materials include materials for salvage/recycling, composting, and donation. The District has many existing examples of best practices associated with this focus area to build from, such as its green cleaning product purchases and use. Benefits related to this focus area include measurable improvements in landfill diversion and reduced GHG emissions from solid waste.

The short- and long-term goals for this focus area are as follows:

Short term (5 years or less)
- Implement recycling in all DPS schools.
- Increase the District-wide waste diversion rate 3 percent annually (30 percent total in 10 years).

Long term (10 years)
- By 2022, all DPS departments purchase 50 percent of goods and services using defined sustainable purchase and procurement guidelines.
- Pursue zero waste schools.

Potential metrics, or ways to measure progress for this focus area, include the following:
- Percent of certified product purchases in each identified category.
- District diversion rate.

The first strategies to be implemented for this focus area include the following:

Strategy 2-1: Develop an Environmentally Preferable Purchasing Program

Develop a District-wide, centralized environmentally preferable purchasing program. The program will address purchasing relevant to each department throughout the District.

>> Responsible Parties for This Strategy

The Department of Sustainability will establish the program in conjunction with key DPS departments of Purchasing and Enterprise Management, including Food and Nutrition Services.
>> Implementation Steps

- Conduct an in-depth study and inventory of current green purchases in operations and research available options for environmentally preferable purchasing, including the financial impacts of each alternative.
- Building on the study/inventory, establish procurement guidelines that identify priority criteria and addresses topics of recycled content requirements, local purchasing guidance (including composting and recycling services), food supply, vendor product third-party certification as applicable (e.g., ENERGY STAR™ products, Electronic Product Environmental Assessment Tool (EPEAT) products, Green Seal, ConservaTree, GREENGUARD, Floorscore, made in America, chemical restriction list, etc.).
- With procurement guidelines, develop a decision tool, including guidelines and criteria, for selecting green products and incorporate these guidelines into the centralized procurement process. Connect the developed decision tool with an associated tracking/accountability method for determining progress.
- Infuse sustainability language in Requests for Proposals (RFPs), requiring service providers to demonstrate the following:
  - Sustainability in services provided
  - Performance above industry standards/application of best industry practices
  - Willingness to address prioritized sustainability topics
  - Client references for previous sustainable work
- Establish a manufacturer take-back pilot program that involves both products at end-of-life and packaging. Begin pilot program by identifying products that present the greatest challenges to the District and have good economic or physical potential. Incorporate take-back materials into the accountability method for procurement guidelines. A collection infrastructure and system is a key program component, as well as associated contract/bid language.
- Establish an intra-departmental shared savings mechanism that allows for department(s) with increased spending for this strategy to be supported by department(s) that benefits from cost savings from the strategy (e.g., maintenance equipment purchases supported through savings from energy use reduction).

>> Resources

- US Environmental Protection Agency Environmentally Preferable Purchasing: [www.epa.gov/epp](http://www.epa.gov/epp)
- Eco-cycle’s Green Schools Program, including Green Star Schools: [www.ecocycle.org/schools](http://www.ecocycle.org/schools)
- Pacific Northwest Pollution Prevention Resource Center’s (PPRC) Product Stewardship for Manufacturers Tool: [www.pprc.org/pubs/epr/takeback.cfm](http://www.pprc.org/pubs/epr/takeback.cfm)
- Responsible Purchasing Network: [www.responsiblepurchasing.org](http://www.responsiblepurchasing.org)
- ENERGY STAR™: [www.energystar.gov](http://www.energystar.gov)
- EPEAT: [www.epeat.net](http://www.epeat.net)
- Conservatree: [www.conservatree.com](http://www.conservatree.com)

>> Funding

- Pollution Prevention Advisory Board Grants:
  - [www.cdphe.state.co.us/oeis/p2_program/ppabgrants.html](http://www.cdphe.state.co.us/oeis/p2_program/ppabgrants.html)
>> Benefits and Costs

Based on research of national models, the potential cost savings realized for green product procurement can range from minimal (less than 1 percent) to upwards of 10 percent, depending on the practices implemented. Using green products and installing green materials will contribute to the indoor air quality of buildings over their lifetimes, and a healthy indoor air quality environment results in healthier occupants.

**Second Tier Strategies for Focus Area (refer to Section 4.5 for further explanation)**

- Expand and formalize District sustainable food program (including topics of gardens, educational and curriculum related opportunities - including optimized collaboration with various non-profits, etc.).
- Pilot a program similar to Eco-Cycle’s Green Star Schools Program focused on topics ranging from waste reduction and composting to traditional recycling.
FOCUS AREA 3: USE LAND WISELY AND FOR MULTIPLE USES

This focus area addresses using District-owned land broadly, incorporating considerations beyond just the optimal use of land from a cost perspective to incorporating student learning, health and wellness, neighborhood considerations, and others. In particular, this focus area also acknowledges the value of District-owned land – as well as potential joint partnership projects on land owned by others, such as the City of Denver – to provide opportunities for learning landscapes that enhance DPS curriculum by providing landscaping and infrastructure that teaches. Since 1998, in partnership with the University of Colorado-Denver (UCD), DPS has transformed 93 neglected or underutilized public elementary schoolyards into attractive and safe multi-use parks tailored to the needs and desires of their neighbors and communities. The 2008 Denver Public Schools General Obligation Bond will provide an opportunity for all 98 DPS elementary schools to have a learning landscape by December 2012.

The short- and long-term goals for this focus area are as follows:

**Short term (5 years or less)**
- Develop a plan to optimize use of all District land incorporating property value and location, value as a learning landscape, opportunity for shared community resources, food production, and other considerations.

**Long term (in 10 years)**
- All District schools have ready access to and support for land and landscapes that support and enhance the learning environment by providing hands-on opportunities for recreation, food production, community participation, and other student benefits.

**Potential metrics**, or ways to measure progress for this focus area, include the following:
- Underutilized or abandoned acreage per student devoted to learning landscapes and food production.
- Underutilized or abandoned acreage of land being used for multiple uses.

The first strategies to be implemented for this focus area include the following:

**Strategy 3-1: Develop a Sustainable Land Use and Resource Efficiency Master Plan**

According to a 2008 Urban Land Institute report\(^1\), DPS has an extensive collection of buildings and land that far exceed what it needs to serve its population. This includes vacant land that is not needed for new schools as well as underutilized service buildings.

Under this strategy, the District will develop a Land Use Master Plan for DPS properties that incorporates a systems thinking approach to District land, including environmental, economic, and human considerations. The Master Plan will provide direction for optimizing use of land for multiple purposes, including such considerations as student learning and health and wellness, demonstration gardens, shared District/neighborhood resources, and others. It will also address more sustainable land use practices associated with irrigation, fertilizer use, pest management, and other related topics. The strategy also will build on the site-specific findings of the 2008 Urban Land Institute study by addressing the following questions on a District level:

- What are the market conditions for property in the immediate vicinity?
- What does the current zoning allow?
- Should the property be sold quickly or would it be better to wait for the real estate market to revive?
- Are there possible alternative uses for the property that would allow DPS to retain ownership but draw income from leases, etc.?
- Should the property be held for future use?

>> Responsible Parties for This Strategy

- DPS Facility Management
- DPS Planning and Analysis

>> Implementation Steps

- Conduct a baseline inventory of the District’s current land assets and uses.
- Conduct a needs assessment for land use, incorporating learning, health and wellness, recreation, district infrastructure, and other considerations.
- Evaluate potential land uses, including sites suitable for multiple uses.
- Evaluate sustainability and resource efficiency opportunities associated with land use inputs (e.g., water consumption, fertilizers, etc.).
- Develop landscape plans focused on water reduction.
- Evaluate the benefit of a replacement plan for synthetic turf on athletic fields.
- Coordinate with Denver Water and DPS curriculum staff to develop water use awareness materials.
- Develop phased plan for allocating land uses.
- Evaluate community partnerships, joint use/shared services, and funding opportunities.
- Document findings in a land use and resource efficiency Master Plan.

>> Resources

- Greenprint Denver: www.greenprintdenver.org
- Neighborhood associations
- Denver Urban Gardens: www.dug.org
- University of Colorado-Denver Learning Landscapes Program:
  - www.ucdenver.edu/academics/colleges/architectureplanning/discover/centers/cccd/learninglandscapes/Pages/index.aspx
- Slow Food Denver: www.slowfooddenver.org
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- Learning Landscape Alliance
- Denver Schoolyard Consortium
- Urban Land Institute: Colorado.uli.org
- Office of Economic Development, City and County of Denver

>> Funding

- National Institutes of Health: grants.nih.gov/grants/funding/funding_program.htm
- LiveWell Colorado: movement.livewellcolorado.org
- City and County of Denver- Various Departments
- Great Outdoors Colorado: www.goco.org
- U.S. Department of Agriculture – Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education (SARE): www.sare.org

>> Benefits and Costs

Developing a strategic Land Use Master Plan for the District’s properties will enable the District to evaluate ways to use land more efficiently, as well as identify opportunities for sharing resources with the community, and, in some cases, selling or allocating land for more cost-effective uses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Second Tier Strategies for Focus Area (refer to Section 4.5 for further explanation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Establish a formal agreement with the City and County of Denver for shared maintenance and resources (e.g., exterior maintenance, etc.).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Develop other strategies based on the outcome of the Land Use Master Plan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FOCUS AREA 4: PREPARE STUDENTS FOR THE FUTURE

By definition, sustainability focuses on future generations and the human, economic, and environmental challenges being handed down to them. Today’s students and those that follow will require new understanding, knowledge, and skills to contribute and thrive in this future world. This focus area provides a direct and vital link between the SMP and the DPS mission to prepare students to become contributing citizens:

The mission of the Denver Public Schools is to provide all students the opportunity to achieve the knowledge and skills necessary to become contributing citizens in our diverse society.

The short and long term goals for this focus area are as follows:

Short term (5 years or less):
- Curriculum web portal is complete and all teachers have access to sustainability curriculum resources.

Long term (10 years):
- Sustainability is integrated into classes at all grade levels.

Potential metrics, or ways to measure progress for this focus area, include the following:
- Number of jobs training programs addressing sustainability.
- Number of classes integrating sustainability into the curriculum.
- Extent of revised sustainability curriculum adopted by the Board of Education.

The first strategy to be implemented for this focus area includes the following:

Strategy 4-1: Integrate Sustainability into Curriculum and Instruction

Recognizing that preparing students for the future will come through a combination of educational enhancements across all grade levels and subject areas, this strategy focuses on providing DPS teachers with the tools and resources they need to integrate sustainability across the curriculum. The strategy is designed so that it accomplishes the following:

- Takes a voluntary approach to integrating sustainability into the curriculum, focusing on providing teachers the resources, support, training, and tools they need
- Recognizes the sustainability-related themes and opportunities that already exist in current curriculum and standards
- Does not reinvent, but adapts and customizes available curriculum-based sustainability efforts nationally and internationally in all areas of study and for all grades
- Is realistic and acknowledges the ever-increasing requirements and time demands of teachers, principals, and administrators
- Integrates sustainability across grade levels and course subjects, from basic sustainability literacy to topics such as nutrition and specialized job training
• Taps the grassroots energy and initiative of DPS teachers, staff, parents, and community members who are already championing sustainability and sharing their knowledge with students
• Leverages existing community-based resources for engaging students in sustainability

>> Responsible Parties for This Strategy

• DPS Curriculum Leaders
• DPS Department of Sustainability
• DPS Career Education Center
• Jobs Training Leaders
• Community partners with educational/outreach materials and resources

>> Implementation Steps

• Focus on creating a web portal where teachers can access materials, tools, case studies, and information from existing DPS curriculum, the Department of Sustainability, community partners, and national and international programs for integrating sustainability into their curriculum.
• Establish an appropriate working group – either an existing or new group to work on this strategy in an ongoing sustained way and to help populate the portal.
  o Determine if there are any existing or new sub-groups that would advance the work quicker, covering sub-topics such as workforce, course subject areas such as science, or separate groups for elementary, middle school, and high school curriculum work.
• Create an asset map of existing DPS teachers, classes, events, and activities that are integrating sustainability into curriculum and/or where sustainability could be integrated (Table 8).
• Take an interdisciplinary approach to sustainability so that it can be integrated into science, social studies, after school programs, and career and technical education classes as well as integrated across environmental, economic, and human dimensions (e.g., gardens and nutrition).
• Solicit and make available community partnerships and resources as part of the web portal.
• Offer support to teachers to help them include sustainability activities in their classrooms and lesson plans.
• Incorporate sustainability in curriculum training for teachers so that they are aware of information available to support integrating sustainability.
• Consider incentives, such as linking cost savings from sustainability to funding for classrooms/departments.

TABLE 8: POTENTIAL INTEGRATION POINTS FOR SUSTAINABILITY IN THE DPS CURRICULUM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elementary</th>
<th>Secondary</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition Unit</td>
<td>Water as a Resource</td>
<td>Earth Natural Resources (Water, Energy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigating Animals and Their Needs, Water</td>
<td>Climate and Weather</td>
<td>Climate Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interdisciplinary Unit</td>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td>River Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigating Ecosystems,</td>
<td>Urban Advantage</td>
<td>Ecology Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable/Non-renewable Energy</td>
<td>Investigation Project</td>
<td>Electricity of Everyone Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Land Use Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Resources**

- Denver Urban Gardens: [www.dug.org](http://www.dug.org)
- Slow Food Denver: [www.slowfooddenver.org](http://www.slowfooddenver.org)
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency: [http://www.epa.gov/region8/ee/teachingsustainability.html](http://www.epa.gov/region8/ee/teachingsustainability.html)
- Denver Green School (including founding documents)
- Facing the Future: [www.facingthefuture.org/](http://www.facingthefuture.org/)
- Green Education Foundation: [www.greeneducationfoundation.org](http://www.greeneducationfoundation.org)

**Funding**

- Project Learning Tree: [www.plt.org](http://www.plt.org)
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Environmental Education Grants: [www.epa.gov/enviroed/grants.html](http://www.epa.gov/enviroed/grants.html)

**Second Tier Strategies for Focus Area (refer to Section 4.5 for further explanation)**

- Expand and support voluntary student green teams.
- Create volunteer programs that connect students with sustainability in the community.
- Help Special Education students develop marketable job skills.
- Develop a “train the trainer” program using partnerships with technical schools to train students in sustainability.
- Leverage and expand events such as school energy challenges and lights off campaigns.
FOCUS AREA 5: SUPPORT EMPLOYEES

DPS employees - including both teachers and staff members - need to be supported with the appropriate training, tools, and resources for implementing sustainability. This focus area’s goals are to provide voluntary sustainability training and increase sustainability skills and knowledge among faculty and employees. The strategy for reaching these goals uses an enhanced version of green teams as the platform to develop and deliver the necessary training support to faculty and employees.

The short- and long-term goals for this focus area are as follows:

**Short term (5 years or less):**
- All interested new DPS employees receive voluntary sustainability training.
- All interested existing DPS employees receive voluntary sustainability training.

**Long term (10 years):**
- Establish and maintain 100 percent employee awareness of DPS sustainability commitment and participation in adopted practices.

**Potential metrics**, or ways to measure progress for this focus area, include the following:
- Extent of integration of sustainability into EPMP goals.
- Number of employees receiving voluntary sustainability training.
- Number of employee green teams.

The first strategy to be implemented for this focus area includes the following:

**Strategy 5-1: Establish Employee-focused Voluntary Sustainability Training Using a Green Team Structure**

By serving as sustainability hubs for DPS employees, employee green teams can help grow a culture and competency around sustainability where eventually it becomes like safety – something that is everyone’s responsibility. These green teams can provide training, coordination, and technical support (i.e., the Department of Sustainability) so that all employees with an interest in sustainability can do their part to make things happen at the individual school level and across the District. Under this strategy, voluntary employee green teams would help identify and deliver the sustainability training and support other employees. The strategy includes a concerted campaign to grow the number of green teams and to engage more employees in sustainability by providing them voluntary training and resources to integrate sustainability into their day-to-day work.

**>> Responsible Parties for This Strategy**

- DPS Department of Sustainability
- DPS Student Leadership
- Existing DPS green teams
>> Implementation Steps

- Implement a campaign to increase the number of school green teams.
- Link green team activities to student councils for shared structure/format.
- Create a system of voluntary trainings for sustainability champions using employee green teams as a venue for training and peer-to-peer sharing of practices.
- Ensure that sustainability training provides cross-cutting information to support sustainability across multiple departments.
- Incorporate sustainability training resources into new employee handbook materials.
- Use employee green teams to create an established means of communication for employees, students, and the community to convey new sustainability ideas and feedback on existing ones.
- Provide top-down support for training, while troubleshooting where lack of knowledge or misinformation are currently barriers to sustainability (e.g., zero waste events).

>> Resources

- Community partners with educational/outreach materials and resources
- Northwest Earth Institute Discussion Courses: [www.nwei.org](http://www.nwei.org)

>> Funding

- Funding support for employee sustainability training and education may be available from a number of foundations and labor-related agencies as well as in the form of in-kind technical assistance from the higher education sector.

Second Tier Strategies for Focus Area (refer to Section 4.5 for further explanation)
- Incorporate sustainability in job descriptions to inform and acknowledge expectations.

4.6 Cross-cutting Theme: Partnerships

The diverse nature of the strategies in this SMP provides an opportunity for broad collaboration across the District and with community partners. Partnerships are a particularly important component of SMP implementation to help share and leverage resources and expertise. The District is fortunate to already have several community partners with an interest in sustainability in general, as well as in specific strategies in the SMP. Valued partners can include colleges, universities, and other higher education institutions, non-profit and community organizations, the business community, the building industry and professional organizations, communities of faith, and others. Such partnerships can be leveraged to share resources and expertise and they can also ensure that sustainability becomes part of the fabric of the District and community and not an effort dependent on a small handful of champions.
The Department of Sustainability has facilitated many important partnerships that will increase its capacity to implement sustainability initiatives that will have far-reaching savings and positive environmental impacts. Such partnerships in the past year have included the following:

- Denver Recycles
- Denver Water
- City and County of Denver’s Greenprint Office
- Colorado Governor’s Energy Office
- Xcel Energy
- Oak Leaf Energy Partners
- Main Street Power
- Denver School Garden Coalition
- City and County of Denver’s Office of Environmental Health

The combination of all of these groups, agencies, and resources has greatly increased the District’s Department of Sustainability ability to produce major efficiency results and savings for the District and, ultimately, the taxpayers. These benefits will continue as the strategies in the SMP are implemented.

### 4.7 Cross-cutting Theme: Communications

Along with partnerships, effective communication will be critical for implementing the SMP, including achieving its goals and completing its strategies. With such a large and diverse District such as DPS, effective communication will be necessary – both within the District and to its external stakeholders and community – to encourage participation and action, coordinate efforts, and report progress to both the District and the community. Ideas and implementation steps to enhance communication include the following:

- Incorporate sustainability into new employee orientation to communicate from the outset the SMP’s goals and strategies and how they relate to the employee’s roles and responsibilities.
- Review job descriptions to help inform and include expectations for sustainable behavior and work habits.
- To foster cross-department collaboration, identify opportunities that impact other departments, and then communicate/coordinate those strategies to prompt people to think in similar ways.
- Conduct communications and outreach to hourly employees – educate them about sustainability with prompts/signs, access to SMP and/or training. These efforts could include information, for example, on commuting options.
- Explore opportunities for publications to streamline communications internally.
- Use the District’s sustainability website to share overall progress and social media to share each school’s efforts.
- Using recent successes, build DPS interdepartmental relationships to improve communications, partnership, and leadership.
- Broaden communications to parents and the business community to encourage support from the private and non-profit sectors.
5.0 IMPLEMENTATION PLATFORM

In total, the above sections of the SMP reference several different high-level strategies, each with its own set of implementation steps, costs and benefits, resources and partners, and performance metrics. For the strategies to work together as a cohesive system, these distributed efforts need to be coordinated and integrated in order to accomplish the following:

- Ensure parts are not working at odds with each other.
- Maximize synergies between related strategies.
- Cross-pollinate lessons learned.
- Measure cumulative impacts relative to stated goals.
- Determine next meaningful paths based on progress and emerging opportunity.

Managing the SMP as a system requires an organizational structure as well as a communication structure. The remainder of this section addresses each of these centralized implementation pieces.

5.1 Organizational Considerations

The District is fortunate to have two members of its Department of Sustainability dedicated to keeping the SMP on track from year to year. This Department can be responsible for the yearly measuring of progress to goals and coordination of the integration bullet points above. Ideally, the DPS SMP Executive Committee convened to support the initial development of this SMP could continue in some
form to meet to support implementation and to guide the continued evolution of the SMP. As well, among the DPS staff that participated in the various interviews, there are likely champions for different strategies or even specific action steps based on professional expertise and motivation. Finally, the community is rich with sustainability expertise and resources that should be tapped in implementing the various strategies of this SMP. The SMP Executive Committee, with its District and outside stakeholder membership, could be the forum for involving community resources in a way that supports ongoing development of the implementation platform for the SMP.

5.2 Monitoring and Reporting

Monitoring is essential for evaluating the cumulative effect of the SMP, especially as implementation across the different strategies continues to grow and mature in years to come through this continuous improvement process. With the baseline GHG inventory established in the SMP, a protocol and information management system has been provided to ensure ongoing measurement of the District’s carbon footprint on an annual basis. The carbon footprint is aggregated from a number of supporting key metrics, such as energy consumption, solid waste generation, recycling rates, and transportation metrics that support 5-year and 10-year goals within the different focus areas of the SMP. These measurements can then provide the basis for a quantitative and technically credible annual sustainability report to the community. The report would share quantitative progress toward goals while sharing success stories and communicating intentions for the upcoming year.

5.3 Future Updates to the Plan

The monitoring and reporting process will not only reveal the District’s progress toward its goals, it will also help identify opportunities for updates to the SMP itself. These updates may include new goals, strategies, potential partners and resources, and additional areas of focus beyond the SMP’s current five focus areas. With the experience of having started to implement strategies and actions, DPS may wish to re-evaluate both the short-term and long-term goals identified in the SMP and refine them based on progress and changing perceptions. At times, for example, what may seem to have been an aggressive goal may be more achievable than initially thought, prompting staff to revise goals upward as progress is made.

Manifesting the intents articulated in Sections 5.2 and 5.3, DPS is committed to an annual SMP report. The Sustainability Department will lead this annual effort and will convene an advisory committee for vetting all information.
APPENDIX A: SMP INTERVIEW NOTES

This appendix contains the notes from six interviews conducted early in the SMP process to inform the baseline and strategy development. The interviews were linked to specific DPS departments and are presented in the following order:

- Facilities Shops
- 900 Grant Admin Staff: HR/Finance/Communications/Risk Management
- Teachers, Principals, Student Services
- Community Groups and Utility Provider
- Transportation Division
- Student Board of Education
Staff Interview Meeting Notes
Sustainability Management Plan (SMP)
August 4, 2011 from 10:00-11:30 (Facilities – 7 staff interviewed)

PROJECT SUMMARY
Funded by a grant from the Colorado Governor’s Energy Office, Denver Public Schools is embarking on the development of its first ever Sustainability Management Plan (SMP). With involvement from leadership, staff, teachers, students, parents and the community, the SMP will lay out a roadmap for uniting its many ongoing sustainability initiatives and integrating energy, resource efficiency and other sustainability practices into District operations. The approximately 10-month project will include compiling a baseline inventory of the District’s sustainability practices and environmental impact, crafting a vision and goals for sustainability and developing strategies and implementation steps. For more information, visit: http://sustainability.dpsk12.org/management_plan.

MEETING PURPOSE / EXPECTED OUTCOMES
- Introduce the SMP process
- Explain its benefits and objectives
- Solicit employee feedback on existing successes and future opportunities

DPS ATTENDEES
- Laurel Mattrey, Sustainability Planner/Analyst
- Staff from Facilities:
  - Matt Smidt, Preventative Pest Management
  - Roger Reyes, Pest Management
  - James Blank (Jim) - Electrical
  - Toni Shelton – Professional Development
  - Don Fenton – Manages QA and QC
  - Andy Raicevich – SCC/Community Use
  - Mark Clay – Plumbing/Irrigation
- Not present: Grounds, HVAC, Painters (Plaster, roofing), Flooring, Metal and Welding – all Structural Dept except Paint Shop and HVAC.

BRENDEL GROUP TEAM ATTENDEES AND ROLES
- Julie Sieving, Brendle Group- Senior Engineer & Project Manager
- Bill Franzen, SAGE2
- Beth Powell, Project People LLC
Meeting Notes:

Laurel introduced the meeting purpose; Julie facilitated, presented the background on SMS, and asked that the group share their position and role in DPS.

Project one-pager handed out to attendees, who were also told of the district web site for this project as an ideal way to keep engaged re: project progress and timeline. All notes from small group interviews and Exec Committee meetings will be posted. Feel free to contact Julie (jsieving@brendlgroup.com) or Laurel (LAUREL_MATTREY@dpsk12.org) with any questions or future ideas.

Facilitator: Today is first of six targeted groups in next couple of months; we’ll work with other departments to repeat the same structure consistently. The Executive Committee will reconvene soon (and thru project end in Feb 2012) to discuss policy, guiding principles, to develop goals, and work bottom up to support those goals and vision. For strategy development, these interviews are key to generate ideas for our strategy “hopper”. The May 2011 district-wide survey that solicited broad input from the district (and got 200-300 responses) helped inform the strategies.

GHG inventory update was presented. Primary sources: electricity is primary source of carbon footprint at 65% of total, natural gas 30%, fleet 5.5%. 125 mtc02e tons total.

Info captured today will be used in three ways:
1) In the written notes from today (posted to web)
2) To inform the creation of the selected sustainability strategies
   As part of the final deliverable, staff ideas are noted in an appendix. The entire SMP is a written deliverable of Brendle Group to DPS by end of February 2012.

DPS Existing Successes:

- Oversees supply house (materials)
- Focus on preventative maintenance (PM) – including electrical, plumbing, HVAC. Dedicated crew on that has proven to be highly efficient. Addressed and prioritizes heavy, cyclical workload. (Follow-up: obtain list of PM items.)
- PM for major items are on regular schedule, practices posted on web site
- Trade related classes are focused on PM related. Hands on and also awareness.
- Clean air filters maximize efficiency, heat exchange, important component for sustainability.
- Pest management approach reduces pesticides in schools; cleaner, safer environment for community, students & faculty.
- Coordinated and regularly updated equipment and occupancy scheduling of all year round programs with IBAST (Integrated Building Automated Systems Team).
- Effort to expand central equipment controls to all the schools
- Ambitious goals around landscape, starting with bond funds
- Automated irrigation system
- T-8s are almost all retrofitted, probably 80%
- Scheduling for daily maintenance work has been transitioned from “fighting fires” to site based approach
- Professional development programs to assist and collaborate with sustainability training as needed
Working to minimize paper usage through E-faxing use and online registration processes for professional development
- Recycling program
- Eliminated bleach use in custodial services
- Green product demos/training
- Performance reviews are an indicator of progress/success; feedback brings to light areas for improvement.
- Performance improvement and coaching is a key focus
- Trade-related training that incorporates maintenance. For example, custodial staff can change a ballast.
- Paperless applications for employees
- 80% of building retro elect lighting
- Construction standards, including beginning sustainability related content, for communicating to architects maintained and targeted to be updated annual in September based on input collected in past year
- Maintenance, planning and bond worked together on integrated design process (with architects) develop the scope for projects – goals/standards/opportunity.
- Geoexchange HVAC systems in place.
- Warranty management program
- They go from school to school changing fixtures.
- Efforts that have reduced natural gas tap sizes and associated economic benefits

DPS Future Opportunities:
- Eliminate toxicity in schools
- For equipment and occupancy scheduling, establish contact with a broader group of people; coordinate better; plan in advance to schedule building occupancy to maximize reduction in energy use.
- Revisit centralized maintenance facility and consider decentralized approach
- Greenwashing is common: need to be sure to look below the surface.
- Emerging product/technology evaluation is a possible strategy. Identify products that are effective in terms of costs, performance and impact on other departments.
- Expand Capital Reserve
- Strengthen energy related goals for projects, including bond projects
- Consider sustainable design guidelines to supplement SMP and existing standards
- Transition to vacancy sensors vs occupancy sensors for maintenance purpose
- Consider data/trending system to support PM through and key indicators/metrics
- More green chemicals, and regulating type and amount, educating people on all that we’ve integrated.
- Improved flooring
- Incorporate more professional development for their leadership teams and office personnel and maintenance side of the house, especially applications for online programs that increase flexibility and reduce travel (GHG).
- Sustainability incorporated into new employee orientation
- Job descriptions to inform and include expectations for sustainable behavior and work habits.
- Safety training related to CO Department of Labor to bring staff into existing trades training classes measures
• Boiler replacements effort underway that increase efficiency, decrease gas use and maintenance required
• Green Valley Ranch campus as vehicle for piloting new ideas and measuring performance
Staff Interview Meeting Notes
Sustainability Management Plan (SMP)
August 4, 2011 from 1:30-2:40 HR, Finance, Communications, Risk Mgmt

PROJECT SUMMARY
Funded by a grant from the Colorado Governor’s Energy Office, Denver Public Schools is embarking on the development of its first ever Sustainability Management Plan (SMP). With involvement from leadership, staff, teachers, students, parents and the community, the SMP will lay out a roadmap for uniting its many ongoing sustainability initiatives and integrating energy, resource efficiency and other sustainability practices into District operations. The approximately 10-month project will include compiling a baseline inventory of the District’s sustainability practices and environmental impact, crafting a vision and goals for sustainability and developing strategies and implementation steps. For more information, visit: http://sustainability.dpsk12.org/management_plan.

MEETING PURPOSE / EXPECTED OUTCOMES
- Introduce the SMP process
- Explain its benefits and objectives
- Solicit employee feedback on existing successes and future opportunities

DPS ATTENDEES
- Laurel Mattrey, Sustainability Planner/Analyst
- Jim Faes, Director of Sustainability
- Anna Shipley, Sustainability Intern
- Staff from these departments:
  - Patti Havenor, HR
  - Stephen Finley, Director of Risk Management
  - Bart Muller, HR
  - David Hart, CFO
  - Kristy Armstrong, Communications

BRENDEL GROUP TEAM ATTENDEES AND ROLES
- Julie Sieving, Brendle Group- Senior Engineer & Project Manager
- Bill Franzen, SAGE2
- Beth Powell, Project People LLC

Meeting Notes:
Laurel introduced the meeting purpose; Julie facilitated, presented the background on SMS, and asked that the group share their position and role in DPS.

Project one-pager handed out to attendees, who were also told of the district web site for this project as an ideal way to keep engaged re: project progress and timeline. All notes from small
group interviews and Exec Committee meetings will be posted. Feel free to contact Julie (jsieving@brendlgroup.com) or Laurel (LAUREL_MATTREY@dpsk12.org) with any questions or future ideas.

Facilitator: Today is first of six targeted groups in next couple of months; we’ll work with other departments to repeat the same structure consistently. The Executive Committee will reconvene soon (and thru project end in Feb 2012) to discuss policy, guiding principles, to develop goals, and work bottom up to support those goals and vision. For strategy development, these interviews are key to generate ideas for our strategy “hopper”. The May 2011 district-wide survey that solicited broad input from the district (and got 200-300 responses) helped inform the strategies.

GHG inventory update was presented. Primary sources: electricity is primary source of carbon footprint at 65% of total, natural gas 30%, fleet 5.5%. 125 mtc02e tons total.

Info captured today will be used in three ways:
  3) In the written notes from today (posted to web)
  4) To inform the creation of the selected sustainability strategies
  5) As part of the final deliverable, staff ideas are noted in an appendix. The entire SMP is a written deliverable of Brendle Group to DPS by end of February 2012.

DPS Existing Successes:
- 600 workers comp claims per year are now paperless. Allowed them to get rid of filing cabinets prev. filled with paper; gave them to another department (money saved).
- Most workman comp’s claims are facilities, transportation, food service (40%). Risk management system in place to monitor them to identify correlations. They try to develop preventative trainings. Average age is 45 years.
- eFax used.
- District wide, metrics, top down
- Mission statement based on Denver Plan
- Fuel purchase; electric vehicles on radar
- SMP itself
- Quantified benefits for worker comp claim reductions
- 100% paperless with employee applications
- Annual top-down goals from district metrics requirements; discussed at mgmt level and with employees; derived from the Denver Plan’s directives. Updated in summer.
- Recycling of paper, awareness of printer usage
- Transportation department initiatives

DPS Future Opportunities:
- Average building age is 40 years. District bonds focus on infrastructure vs. aesthetics (like playgrounds). Examples: replace windows, water heating systems, upgrade HVAC, plumbing.
- Address significant vandalism impacts – costs and lifetime - on buildings. Typical examples graffiti, broken windows, screens, thefts, stolen sod.
- Opportunity for publications to streamline communications internally
- Web site upgrade
- Effective communications on a broad scale, connected to Denver Plan that addresses size of District
• Continually remind ourselves about communicating with student group
• Keeping teachers on staff vs. needing substitutes.
• Creating volunteer programs to strengthen the connection between the kids and sustainability
• DPS is leading the state in student achievement growth. How can we be a part of that?
• Integrated communications would help (operations and school side). All aware of initiatives that are going on with sustainability.
• Address issues of health, chemicals, energy and comfort of kids and staff
• 900 Grant building is a living laboratory for uncovering the issues to be address
• Food donations through Food Services
• Bringing the building operation/function into the classroom. Using facility staff to teach/introduce aspects of building function to a class.
• Shared services with cities (e.g. snowplowing)
• Review building maintenance practices
• Address challenges associated with paperless transitions
• Accident, property, etc. paperless conversion
• Review building maintenance as an opportunity
• Develop prevention training based on risk management trends/data
**Staff Interview Meeting Notes**  
**Sustainability Management Plan (SMP)**  
**September 19, 2011 from 1:00-2:15** (NGOs- 14 staff interviewed)

### PROJECT SUMMARY
Funded by a grant from the Colorado Governor’s Energy Office, Denver Public Schools is embarking on the development of its first ever Sustainability Management Plan (SMP). With involvement from leadership, staff, teachers, students, parents and the community, the SMP will lay out a roadmap for uniting its many ongoing sustainability initiatives and integrating energy, resource efficiency and other sustainability practices into District operations. The approximately 10-month project will include compiling a baseline inventory of the District’s sustainability practices and environmental impact, crafting a vision and goals for sustainability and developing strategies and implementation steps. For more information, visit: [http://sustainability.dpsk12.org/management_plan](http://sustainability.dpsk12.org/management_plan).

### MEETING PURPOSE / EXPECTED OUTCOMES
- Introduce the SMP process
- Explain its benefits and objectives
- Solicit employee feedback on existing successes and future opportunities

### DPS ATTENDEES
- Laurel Mattrey, Sustainability Planner/Analyst
- NGO Participants
  1. John-Paul Maxfield, Waste Farmers (they collect organic waste for organic fertilizers for urban agriculture)
  2. Michael Akhwepe. A Food Studies fellow from Kenya, visiting to study food issues
  3. Cate Townley, CU Denver College of Architecture
  4. Steve Combs & Barbara Chapman, Red Apple Recycling
  5. Patty Breech, Green Up Our Schools
  7. Donna Pacetti, Denver Water
  8. Jessica Scott, GreenPrint Denver
  9. J.D. Prater, Alliance for Climate Education
  10. Steve Mudd, Xcel Energy Wind Source program
  11. Shawnee Adelson, Denver Urban Gardens
  12. Paul Tamburello, "Growhouse", an interactive urban farm and marketplace in Denver’s Swansea neighborhood. Works also with Circle Fresh farms, which is a for-profit network of small farmers for processing and distribution.
  13. Becky Goyton, City & County of Denver, Denver Recycles. In 2009 they took the hauling contract for schools trash and most recycling. Modeled after their Residential Program.

### BRENDLE GROUP TEAM ATTENDEES AND ROLES
- Julie Sieving, Brendle Group- Senior Engineer & Project Manager
- Beth Powell, Project People LLC, Project Support

Laurel introduced the meeting purpose; Julie facilitated, presented the background on SMS, and asked that the group share their position and role in DPS. GHG inventory update was presented. Primary sources: electricity is primary source of carbon footprint at 65% of total, natural gas 30%, fleet 5.5%. 125 mtc02e tons total.
Project one-pager handed out to attendees, who were also told of the district web site for this project as an ideal way to keep engaged re: project progress and timeline. All notes from small group interviews and Exec Committee meetings will be posted. Feel free to contact Julie (jsieving@brendlegroup.com) or Laurel (LAUREL_MATTREY@dpsk12.org) with any questions or future ideas.

Info captured today will be used in three ways:
6) In the written notes from today (posted to web)
7) To inform the creation of the selected sustainability strategies
8) As part of the final deliverable, staff ideas are noted in an appendix. The entire SMP is a written deliverable of Brendle Group to DPS by end of February 2012.

Julie Sieving (Facilitator):
Today is the third of six targeted groups in next couple of months; we’ll work with other departments to repeat the same structure consistently. The Executive Committee will reconvene soon (and thru project end in Feb 2012) to discuss policy, guiding principles, to develop goals, and work bottom up to support those goals and vision. For strategy development, these interviews are key to generate ideas for our strategy “hopper”. The May 2011 district-wide survey that solicited broad input from the district (and got 200-300 responses) helped inform the strategies.

DPS Existing Successes:
- Energy and Green Teams:
  - The City and County of Denver, Dept of Environmental Health, through the Better Buildings Grant, works with Laurel on the schools energy challenge, to teach students to reduce energy
  - Alliance for Climate Education: does middle and high school presentations, and supports the creation of green and environmental clubs. Denver energy challenge partnership with DPS.
  - Xcel Energy partners with DPS on the Green Energy Challenge.
  - DPS Facilities Management Training done by Laurel. Was a success.
  - Some schools have great facilities managers leading the charge.
  - EPA-funded pilot program called “DPS Energy Challenge”; includes behavior and outreach training to students on a variety of sustainability topics (electricity, transportation)
  - District-wide energy efficiency efforts with Xcel have been successful.
  - There are many student green teams (14 minimum)
  - Green team leadership training by Alliance for Climate Education
  - Behavior and education on CO2 emissions; after presentations, they talk about why recycling is important in the carbon cycle. They also discuss the Energy Challenge with students. They tap the most excited students for projects, contests, green teams. They are currently localized
  - Solar curriculum was developed; disseminated to all 28 schools with arrays.
  - Champions: parents, Facilities Managers, Wellness Committees
  - UCD Program in Engineering: Integrative Graduate Education Research Traineeship (IGERT) – might support DPS with a carbon offsets study, can look district-wide.
- Recycling:
  - Recycling – free for every school
  - Denver Recycles: composting in six schools, plus education and classroom bins.
  - Red Apple is recycling textiles
  - Paper towels 100% compostable & recyclable
  - Lincoln High School: does their best to reuse school items at end of year.
  - Green Up Our Schools: Waste Reduction & Initiatives; composting, and target goals.
- Food-related initiatives:
  - Denver Urban Gardens has 30 school-based community gardens, and education around eating healthy.
- Urban Farm Feasibility Study
  - Current feasibility study: DHA 10,000 square feet of an inflatable clear plastic greenhouse called “the bouncy house” – will be the first in Denver Metro known of.
  - Reusable trays in cafeteria.
  - Commercial composting in several schools
  - Steele Elementary & McGlone schools are piloting greenhouse development for year-found food production
  - Partner (e.g., Slow Food) on “gardens to cafeteria”.
  - Denver Green School (charter school) is buying produce from an onsite farm

- Water
  - Replacing all toilets & urinals – in 5 years, all 5000 fixtures will be replaced. Brendle group was hired to do an entire indoor and outdoor water audit.

- Misc:
  - Schoolyards Redevelopment Project with UCD Arch. School: 93 to date done; by end of 2012, all will have a new learning landscape playground.

DPS Future Opportunities:
- Energy & Green Teams:
  - Initiate more student green teams
  - Leadership training to connect all the green teams district-wide. 10/1 training for high school kids
  - Increase energy efficiency education and outreach; schools can benefit financially; DPS has a 20% by 2020 reduction goal. Occupant behavior important after construction.

- Recycling:
  - Policies for deconstruction & recycling of construction waste (certain materials would work, but there is not one location in Colorado where all items can be dropped off
  - Make a list of certain items that can be aggregated and shipped to a recycling facility (MRF – Manufacturing & Recycling Facility)
  - Red Apple Recycling program district-wide
  - Expand commercial composting, ideally free. (Becky can facilitate this contract)

- Food-related initiatives:
  - Greenhouse development for year-round food production
  - Sustainable landscaping; organic fertilizers to replace pesticides and chemical fertilizer. (Edison Elementary is doing a pilot; parents working on this project – cost is an issue).
  - Education has to be part of moving kids into habits of eating from salad bar

- Procurement:
  - Train facilities on the importance of purchasing
  - Look into the supply chain procurement for student materials (Procurement & disposal)
  - Purchasing & procurement; maybe a policy of no Styrofoam. Recyclable or compostable stuff better than biodegradable
  - ‘Purchasing local’ policy, like local food. Commit to time to document clear guidelines to adhere to criteria.
  - Environmental Purchasing Program (diverse criteria)
  - City and County of Denver has offered to DPS similar pricing; Laurel confirmed that those decisions are made via Enterprise Management. Most can piggyback on Purchase Orders if they choose.
• Misc.
  o Landscape Master Plan. For example, central irrigation controls could be better planned if the planners knew what was intended for each grounds.
  o Building to highest LEED standards possible?
  o Improve relationship between Enterprise Management and DPS; communications and leadership are suffering; partnership mentality not yet solid.
  o Leverage parent excitement and involvement
  o Broaden communications to parent and business community to access support from private sector.
  o In Kenya, they use schools to transfer messages and education to parents. They teach gardening in their schools; chickens, goats, sheep at some schools to see the live animal. Composting for soil amendment.
  o Improve communications (Sandoval & Ellis example)
  o Set up a Pen pal school with a school in Kenya or elsewhere
Staff Interview Meeting Notes
Sustainability Management Plan (SMP)
September 19, 2011 from 3:00-4:15 (Teachers, Principals, Student Services - 8 staff interviewed)

PROJECT SUMMARY
Funded by a grant from the Colorado Governor’s Energy Office, Denver Public Schools is embarking on the development of its first ever Sustainability Management Plan (SMP). With involvement from leadership, staff, teachers, students, parents and the community, the SMP will lay out a roadmap for unifying its many ongoing sustainability initiatives and integrating energy, resource efficiency and other sustainability practices into District operations. The approximately 10-month project will include compiling a baseline inventory of the District’s sustainability practices and environmental impact, crafting a vision and goals for sustainability and developing strategies and implementation steps. For more information, visit: http://sustainability.dpsk12.org/management_plan.

MEETING PURPOSE / EXPECTED OUTCOMES
- Introduce the SMP process
- Explain its benefits and objectives
- Solicit employee feedback on existing successes and future opportunities

DPS ATTENDEES
- Laurel Mattrey, Sustainability Planner/Analyst
- Jim Faes, DPS Sustainability Director
- DPS Participants:
  1. Devon Reifsneider, Goodwill Industries in Denver.
  2. Stephen Parce, Principal of the Denver Center for International Studies (A 6-12 magnet school of 700 students)
  4. Monica Schultz, ACE School program (A CTE & Special Ed funded grant)
  5. Marta Usuna, Student Services, Transition Team for DPS (disability students and career planning); oversees Alternative Cooperative Ed for the district (works with Work Study depts.)
  6. Dana Miller, Ellis Elementary
  8. Donna Baker-Brenningstall, Ellis Elementary

BRENDLE GROUP TEAM ATTENDEES AND ROLES
- Julie Sieving, Brendle Group- Senior Engineer & Project Manager
- Beth Powell, Project People LLC, Project Support

Laurel introduced the meeting purpose; Julie facilitated, presented the background on SMS, and asked that the group share their position and role in DPS. GHG inventory update was presented. Primary sources: electricity is primary source of carbon footprint at 65% of total, natural gas 30%, fleet 5.5%. 125 mtc02e tons total.

Project one-pager handed out to attendees, who were also told of the district web site for this project as an ideal way to keep engaged re: project progress and timeline. All notes from small group interviews and Exec Committee meetings will be posted. Feel free to contact Julie (jsieving@brendlgroup.com) or Laurel (LAUREL_MATTREY@dpsk12.org) with any questions or future ideas.
Info captured today will be used in three ways:
9) In the written notes from today (posted to web)
10) To inform the creation of the selected sustainability strategies
11) As part of the final deliverable, staff ideas are noted in an appendix. The entire SMP is a written deliverable of Brendle Group to DPS by end of February 2012.

Julie Sieving (Facilitator):
Today is the fourth of six targeted groups in next couple of months; we’ll work with other departments to repeat the same structure consistently. The Executive Committee will reconvene soon (and thru project end in Feb 2012) to discuss policy, guiding principles, to develop goals, and work bottom up to support those goals and vision. For strategy development, these interviews are key to generate ideas for our strategy “hopper”. The May 2011 district-wide survey that solicited broad input from the district (and got 200-300 responses) helped inform the strategies.

DPS Existing Successes:
- Energy and Green Teams:
  - Solar arrays at many schools (Ellis, Thomas Jefferson, about 28 schools total)
  - Vastly improved heating equipment – will be finished fall 2011
  - Devon teaches about high-demand energy careers. Recycling at her school is done through another organization.
  - Students hired to be trained as an energy audit team. They will go look at energy usage and compile data and come up with a campaign for reducing that energy use.
  - Summer internship program to work in community of students at St Elizabeth’s. Wants to expand that to other schools to establish gardens. Nothing done at North yet.
- Recycling: Textile recycling, Denver Recycles is at all but four schools
- Food-related initiatives:
  - Pilot with grow lights and herbs in Fall 2011.
  - Slow Food Denver program
  - All schools have salad bars
  - Greenhouses
  - Thomas Jefferson School: Started gardening this year with non-school neighbor to North
  - Industrial composting at Ellis Elementary + two school gardens
  - Cafeteria recycling (food to flowers); onsite composting with tumblers (salad bar waste for the garden); worm composting (funded by a local business); student green team, solar panels, working with Green Up Our Schools and Red Apple.
- Misc.:
  - Butterfly garden with young students
  - Meaningful work/career training experiences for special Ed students
  - Gilpin Montessori: Jessica Chapman has 3-year grant to rebrand the school and promote education standards. Sustainability initiatives are part of her role; the community values it and is wanting to engage.

DPS Future Opportunities:
- Energy and Green Teams:
  - Expand solar beyond the 10 kW system
  - Look at solar gardens – look at new Xcel Energy rebates; and other emerging solar technologies; work with NREL to leverage what they are doing.
  - IT regulations for turning off computers
  - Turn off the Lights campaign (40-50% of total electricity pie)
- Recycling:
  - Expand waste programs at Ellis
  - Set up Denver Recycles at “West” this year. It’s an older building so there are lighting and HVAC opportunities (windows, drafts, etc.)
• Food-related initiatives:
  o Generate ideas on green thumb training for Special Ed and other students. Ideas for “in-school work” (For work education/study diploma, they need credits; so they work ‘in the schools’ or out in the community).
  o More on-site gardens and greenhouses for year-round gardens
  o Slow Food Denver – continue their great work where DPS pays for food. Pilot: Sprout City Farms.
  o Use extra school space for gardening or farms that could supply produce for numerous schools & sustainability projects.
  o Eliminate the use of Styrofoam.
  o Work with Food Services district-wide to encourage more recycling and composting out of the kitchens; there’s a big gap between schools recycling and composting and the Food Service department. They have to measure/weight the leftover food — can they then compost? Ideally they could work better with Facilities Managers.
  o Programs to promote healthier food options
  o Bee colonies would be nice, but check with Risk Management.
  o Streamline different regulations for elementary, middle school, high school schools with regards to vending machine contents
  o Set up a greenhouse for-profit to sell herbs to local restaurants. Extend to other products like salad dressings, etc, to enable job opportunities for students with disabilities.
  o Engagement with community. Food banks run out of food quickly; school gardens could dedicate a % of their produce to food banks. “Plant a Row for the Hungry”.
• Water:
  o Continue the Denver Water program to replace fixtures
  o Add rain gauges/sensors then irrigation best practices/education program for Facilities Managers (gardens use less water than bluegrass).
  o Rainwater capture, re-use
• Misc:
  o Continue education and training from ECE through high school – students take the training home
  o Reuse of clothes for Halloween; clothing swap. Goodwill can sponsor (they did a Fashion show last year) – each kid brought 10 items in exchange for a wristband, then they grab what they want. This year may happen again.
  o Help Special Ed kids develop marketable skills (like as urban farmers)
  o Deconstruction training for kids with disabilities; how to salvage needed materials. Cherry Creek and Aurora schools pilot perhaps?
  o Track amount of paper used at different schools – educate staff and students about paper waste. Encourage printer settings with 2-sided printing.
  o Track copier codes to see how many reams of paper are used by teacher, department, or school. Each school sets up their own system of tracking.
  o Executive Committee (coordinate food themes with them)
  o Women’s Bean project example of a nonprofit that has a model for training and workforce development.
  o Education campaign (via social media perhaps?) for strategic communications so all schools are on the same page.
Staff Interview Meeting Notes
Sustainability Management Plan (SMP)
October 5, 2011 from 2:00-3:00 pm (Transportation Staff – 2 interviewed)

PROJECT SUMMARY
Funded by a grant from the Colorado Governor’s Energy Office, Denver Public Schools is embarking on the development of its first ever Sustainability Management Plan (SMP). With involvement from leadership, staff, teachers, students, parents and the community, the SMP will lay out a roadmap for uniting its many ongoing sustainability initiatives and integrating energy, resource efficiency and other sustainability practices into District operations. The approximately 10-month project will include compiling a baseline inventory of the District’s sustainability practices and environmental impact, crafting a vision and goals for sustainability and developing strategies and implementation steps. For more information, visit: http://sustainability.dpsk12.org/management_plan.

MEETING PURPOSE / EXPECTED OUTCOMES
- Introduce the SMP process
- Explain its benefits and objectives
- Solicit employee feedback on existing successes and future opportunities

DPS ATTENDEES
- Laurel Mattrey, Sustainability Planner/Analyst
- Transportation Staff:
  1. Lonnie Rodriguez, Route Supervisor
  2. Nicole Portee, Executive Director – oversees Hilltop and NE Terminal Operations. Fleet operations (yellow fleet is kids’ buses and white fleets are safety and security, & food services). They head up compliance with licensing, dispatching, athletic excursion department, dispatch operations.

BRENDLE GROUP TEAM ATTENDEES AND ROLES
- Dave Wortman, Brendle Group - Senior Engineer & Project Manager
- Beth Powell, Project People LLC, Project Support

Laurel introduced the meeting purpose; Dave facilitated, presented the background on the SMP, and asked attendees to share their position and role in DPS. GHG inventory update was presented. Primary sources: electricity is primary source of carbon footprint at 65% of total, natural gas 30%, fleet 5.5%, 125 MTC02e tons total.

Project one-pager handed out to attendees, who were also told of the district web site for this project as an ideal way to keep engaged re: project progress and timeline. All notes from small group interviews and Executive Committee meetings will be posted. Feel free to contact Julie (sieving@brendlegroup.com) or Laurel (LAUREL_MATTREY@dpsk12.org) with any questions or future ideas.

Information captured today will be used in three ways:
 12) In the written notes from today (posted to web)
 13) To inform the creation of the selected sustainability strategies
 14) As part of the final deliverable, staff ideas will be included in an appendix. The entire SMP written deliverable will be completed by Brendle Group and transmitted to DPS by end of February 2012.
Dave Wortman (Facilitator):
Today is the fifth of six targeted groups at DPS that we have met with for these interviews. The Executive Committee will reconvene soon (and through project end in February 2012) to discuss vision, policy, and guiding principles and to develop goals. This will inform bottom up strategy development. For strategy development, these interviews are key to generate ideas for our strategy “hopper”. The May 2011 district-wide survey that solicited broad input from the district (and got 200-300 responses) will also help inform the strategies.

DPS Existing Successes:
- **Fact:** Transportation has 600+ employees
- **Fact:** All buses log a total of 22,000 miles per day
- **Fact:** 323 buses on the road daily
- VersaTrans software in place now to optimize route planning. *The software can identify # of buses that are scheduled to go down a given street. GPS is in every bus now as well as cameras. GPS track logs record speed, length of time the bus is stopped, actual time the bus passed a given stop. They can import GPS track logs for better routes after trying them out.*
- Route optimization ideas are encouraged. Drivers suggest better routes; they choose the one using the fewest miles after safety is considered.
- **A system for verifying special needs kids is present on buses; same for regular education kids, via manifests (names/addresses).**
- Staff is investigating fleet operations’ fluids/solvents (sustainable window washings, oils, recycled content oil) and vetting them with the vendors to ensure they work well. They’ve moved away from propane (to fuel buses – in the 80’s – they converted 100 buses), although they kept heat warm in the bus. The challenge was that the buses had no power, and getting the propane in the bus.
- They use biodiesel in winter but not summer.
- Recycling in operations is popular – bottles/cans, paper, Shred-It company comes.
- In winter, idling tracking is a focus – the GPS software allows for idling reporting. They also follow City of Denver idling policy. The city can cite vehicles for idling over 5 minutes.
- Some of the newer buses shut off after a certain number of minutes of idling. Driver has to turn it on again; this is intentional so drivers don’t idle too long.
- High school students use RTD passes – monthly pass for academic year. Parents can get discounted rates for RTD passes.
- Transportation Department participates in Safe Routes to School (to encourage walking)
- New Employee Training covers ideas on carpooling, etc.
- Allocating budget for newer buses, so maintenance costs are lower. Average bus age is 7 years (some districts have a 12-20 year average). Note: DPS would like to research how many miles a bus gets in a year and over its lifetime.
- The white fleet has some hybrids for Transportation staff.

DPS Future Opportunities:
- Gather data on what actions would result in cost reductions, to justify new purchases.
- Routing Directions: Help driver get from Point A to Point B more efficiently. Study his route and suggest alternatives if makes sense. Time and distance are their solid factors; they get complaints when on residential streets. The dispatchers use CDOT’s highway camera. They just hired a business analyst to analyze potential cost reductions of different routing strategies.
- VMT 4-6 million miles/year. How to reduce? They could look more at biodiesel – need first to look at the type of buses they have – would they have to purchase new ones?
- Working on a fleet policy to replace older cars with hybrids. Jim: perhaps start with an “encouragement” rather than a mandate.
- RTD discount program for DPS staff to bus to work.
- Transportation Department has a monthly Colorado school districts meeting with Directors and Fleet Maintenance Managers and Directors (topics: latest and greatest vehicles, fluids, etc.).
• Purchase more efficient buses. “Green buses” are offered by some vendors, but DPS hesitates to be the guinea pig.
• Coordination on purchase of vehicles among various departments. Some direction from Fleet department is provided, but education/awareness could be more diffuse.
• Pool car and pool bikes for reserving for inter-building travel may be part of a VMT reduction policy.
• Identify opportunities that impact other departments, then communicate/coordinate those strategies to prompt people to think in similar ways. (The SMP will support this opportunity).
• The teaming approach at the base of the SMP is necessary to ensure it goes district-wide
• Strong opportunities with Outreach/Education:
  o Outreach to hourly employees – educate them about sustainability with handouts, prompts/signs, access to SMP and/or training? Could include info on commuting options.
  o Educate employees re: behaviors “at home” so they will learn to carry them into the workplace. (or vice versa)
  o Share information on City of Denver’s home energy audit program.
  o Promote the DPS lighting project to employees (new lighting will be brighter and more efficient)
  o Consider the learning curve
• Policy on recycling used oil.
• A functional bus wash using recycled water.
Interview Meeting Notes
Sustainability Management Plan (SMP)
October 5, 2011 from 6:30-7:30 pm (Student Board of Ed – 6 interviewed)

PROJECT SUMMARY
Funded by a grant from the Colorado Governor’s Energy Office, Denver Public Schools is embarking on the development of its first ever Sustainability Management Plan (SMP). With involvement from leadership, staff, teachers, students, parents and the community, the SMP will lay out a roadmap for uniting its many ongoing sustainability initiatives and integrating energy, resource efficiency and other sustainability practices into District operations. The approximately 10-month project will include compiling a baseline inventory of the District’s sustainability practices and environmental impact, crafting a vision and goals for sustainability and developing strategies and implementation steps. For more information, visit: http://sustainability.dpsk12.org/management_plan.

MEETING PURPOSE / EXPECTED OUTCOMES
- Introduce the SMP process
- Explain its benefits and objectives
- Solicit student feedback on existing successes and future opportunities

DPS ATTENDEES
- Laurel Mattrey, Sustainability Planner/Analyst
- Jim Faes, Director of Sustainability
- Student Board of Education:
  - Talan (?) Lousignant
  - Danny Hernandez
  - Morgan Blanco
  - Kenny Sanchez
  - Franchesca Ortega
  - Lara Alkarim

BRENDEL GROUP TEAM ATTENDEES AND ROLES
- Dave Wortman, Brendle Group- Program Manager
- Beth Powell, Project People LLC, Project Support

Laurel introduced the meeting purpose; Dave facilitated, presented a brief background on sustainability and the SMP and facilitated student input on their priority topics for the SMP.

Project one-pager handed out to attendees, who were also told of the district web site for this project as an ideal way to keep engaged re: project progress and timeline. All notes from small group interviews and Exec Committee meetings will be posted. Feel free to contact Julie (jsieving@brendlegroup.com) or Laurel (LAUREL_MATTREY@dpsk12.org) with any questions or future ideas.

Info captured today will be used in three ways:
  15) In the written notes from today (posted to web)
  16) To inform the creation of the selected sustainability strategies
  17) As part of the final deliverable, staff/student ideas are noted in an appendix. The entire SMP is a written deliverable of Brendle Group to DPS by end of February 2012.
Dave Wortman (Facilitator):

Today is the last of six targeted groups at DPS that we have met with for these interviews. The Executive Committee will reconvene soon (and thru project end in Feb 2012) to discuss vision, policy and guiding principles; to develop goals; and to help guide bottom-up strategy development. For strategy development, these interviews are key to generate ideas for our strategy “hopper”. The May 2011 district-wide survey that solicited broad input from the district (and got 200-300 responses) helped inform the strategies.

**DPS Existing Successes:**

- South: New sensor faucets, new toilets
- Shuttle bus program – popular but crowded.
- 28 schools have solar panels; DPS is working on more.
- Old paper towel dispensers are recycled after their end of use.
- Old china is crushed and used for road base.

**DPS Future Opportunities:**

- Xcel Energy rebates may support the planned Solar Gardens project.
- Clearer signage/labels for recycling correctly. Large trashcans could be sectioned with waste, paper, bottles/cans to make it obvious. *Jim: single stream takes care of that?* (Perhaps she meant that combining the trash bins next to recycling, kids are encouraged not to throw everything in trash if they know how to recycle correctly).
- Special Ed kids get demoralized with the job of picking up the recycling; ask custodians to take that on. Another student concurred.
- Develop a sustainability class to last all four years of school – involve everyone. Show evidence of green jobs the class will lead to. Or, an AP environmental sustainability class. *Now, exposure to sustainability instruction is limited to two of the students’ schools (in Geography class & Saving Earth’s Resources).*
- Increase recycling (Montbello stopped using recycling bins; South needs new recycling bins; at East, parking lot could use recycling bins so kids returning from lunch out don’t throw wrappers/trash in garbage).
- Cardboard boxes for recycling are filled, but need a clear policy on moving it out of the building.
- Hire someone from a technical school (create a green job) and train them to train students on sustainability – or bring in guest speakers. Make it mandatory – at least one day focused on energy awareness.
- Composting in high schools?
- Give away pine seedlings at a groundbreaking; they can have sustainability tips on them and then you can plant a tree.
- Earth Day celebrated at East. Change to Earth Week to have speakers come, make it last longer, with more education.
- Social media & web site ideas:
  - Share each school’s efforts with Facebook.
  - Make a Facebook ‘event’ for getting the word out to students and staff.
  - School has a Twitter account – South uses it a bit for coupons. May work at South; others say that Twitter is used by very few students.
  - DPS Web site – students can post events, articles, etc.
- Encourage executive promotion and support for all efforts, including Green Club, which isn’t as committed as they could be. Add another DPS Green Club for far northeast?
- Big wishes!
  - District wide recycling – more efficient and everyone doing it.
  - “Lights Off Day” – bring flashlights and candles and assess how much energy is saved. Jim calculated and it wasn’t a huge impact, but would add up and is symbolic.
  - Fix the paper towel dispensers that are dispensing too much or too little. (Laurel: Plan is to replace them with the manual “pull” ones.)
  - Get rid of disposable trays in lunchroom. (some are biodegradable)
1. Please indicate your affiliation with the District. Use the blank box to indicate your specific department or school affiliation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affiliation</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District Leadership (e.g., Superintendent)</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Leadership</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher/Faculty</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Staff</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual School Staff</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Stakeholder</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

List Department or School 92 answered question 143 skipped question 1
2. How do you think the District is currently doing with respect to practices that are integrating sustainability and saving money and/or resources for the following topic areas?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic Area</th>
<th>Poorly</th>
<th>Somewhat Poorly</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Very Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Don’t Know</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building Lighting Systems</td>
<td>14.1% (20)</td>
<td>23.2% (33)</td>
<td>27.5% (39)</td>
<td>7.0% (10)</td>
<td>4.9% (7)</td>
<td>23.2% (33)</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Heating/Cooling and Ventilation Systems</td>
<td>32.9% (47)</td>
<td>25.9% (37)</td>
<td>16.8% (24)</td>
<td>4.2% (6)</td>
<td>1.4% (2)</td>
<td>18.9% (27)</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor Water Use</td>
<td>18.3% (26)</td>
<td>16.9% (24)</td>
<td>33.1% (47)</td>
<td>9.9% (14)</td>
<td>0.7% (1)</td>
<td>21.1% (30)</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Water Use</td>
<td>9.2% (13)</td>
<td>14.8% (21)</td>
<td>28.2% (40)</td>
<td>7.7% (11)</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>40.1% (57)</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Energy</td>
<td>21.1% (30)</td>
<td>23.2% (33)</td>
<td>17.6% (25)</td>
<td>7.0% (10)</td>
<td>4.9% (7)</td>
<td>26.1% (37)</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste and Recycling</td>
<td>6.3% (9)</td>
<td>16.2% (23)</td>
<td>40.8% (58)</td>
<td>22.5% (32)</td>
<td>8.5% (12)</td>
<td>5.6% (8)</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Buses and Other Vehicles</td>
<td>15.0% (21)</td>
<td>19.3% (27)</td>
<td>17.1% (24)</td>
<td>5.0% (7)</td>
<td>0.7% (1)</td>
<td>42.9% (60)</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Purchasing</td>
<td>12.7% (18)</td>
<td>16.9% (24)</td>
<td>14.8% (21)</td>
<td>4.2% (6)</td>
<td>0.0% (0)</td>
<td>51.4% (73)</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Services</td>
<td>16.2% (23)</td>
<td>20.4% (29)</td>
<td>30.3% (43)</td>
<td>8.5% (12)</td>
<td>2.8% (4)</td>
<td>21.8% (31)</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computers, Printers and other IT Equipment</td>
<td>9.2% (13)</td>
<td>15.6% (22)</td>
<td>29.1% (41)</td>
<td>9.2% (13)</td>
<td>1.4% (2)</td>
<td>35.5% (50)</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Cleaning</td>
<td>15.4% (22)</td>
<td>14.7% (21)</td>
<td>12.6% (18)</td>
<td>5.6% (8)</td>
<td>1.4% (2)</td>
<td>50.3% (72)</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscaping</td>
<td>8.5% (12)</td>
<td>21.3% (30)</td>
<td>29.8% (42)</td>
<td>9.2% (13)</td>
<td>2.8% (4)</td>
<td>28.4% (40)</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor Air Quality</td>
<td>23.9% (34)</td>
<td>23.9% (34)</td>
<td>21.1% (30)</td>
<td>4.9% (7)</td>
<td>0.7% (1)</td>
<td>25.4% (36)</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability in the Curriculum</td>
<td>18.4% (26)</td>
<td>20.6% (29)</td>
<td>19.9% (28)</td>
<td>9.9% (14)</td>
<td>3.5% (5)</td>
<td>27.7% (39)</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education/Training of Staff on Sustainability Practices</td>
<td>30.0% (42)</td>
<td>30.0% (42)</td>
<td>12.9% (18)</td>
<td>6.4% (9)</td>
<td>0.7% (1)</td>
<td>20.0% (28)</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. How effective do you think the following SMP strategies and actions would be to save the District money and resources and improve its sustainability practices?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Not effective</th>
<th>Marginally effective</th>
<th>Somewhat effective</th>
<th>Very effective</th>
<th>We’re already doing enough of this</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide District staff more education and training about finding best money and resource-saving practices in the District’s daily operations.</td>
<td>5.0% (7)</td>
<td>16.5% (23)</td>
<td>37.4% (52)</td>
<td><strong>40.3% (56)</strong></td>
<td>0.7% (1)</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program building/school lights and other equipment to automatically shut off when not in use.</td>
<td>2.8% (4)</td>
<td>3.5% (5)</td>
<td>15.6% (22)</td>
<td><strong>74.5% (105)</strong></td>
<td>3.5% (5)</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjust building/school temperatures and heating/cooling systems to use less energy.</td>
<td>5.7% (8)</td>
<td>7.1% (10)</td>
<td>17.0% (24)</td>
<td><strong>67.4% (95)</strong></td>
<td>2.8% (4)</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrate sustainability into new buildings and major renovations.</td>
<td>2.1% (3)</td>
<td>5.0% (7)</td>
<td>9.3% (13)</td>
<td><strong>82.9% (116)</strong></td>
<td>0.7% (1)</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use more renewable energy to operate buildings/schools</td>
<td>3.6% (5)</td>
<td>4.3% (6)</td>
<td>12.9% (18)</td>
<td><strong>75.7% (106)</strong></td>
<td>3.6% (5)</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change water fixtures and water-using equipment in bathrooms, kitchens and other areas to more water saving fixtures.</td>
<td>2.8% (4)</td>
<td>6.4% (9)</td>
<td>13.5% (19)</td>
<td><strong>73.0% (103)</strong></td>
<td>4.3% (6)</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide more facilities and guidance for recycling.</td>
<td>2.1% (3)</td>
<td>4.3% (6)</td>
<td>28.4% (40)</td>
<td><strong>55.3% (78)</strong></td>
<td>9.9% (14)</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce waste from food services and evaluate sustainability in food services procurement.</td>
<td>2.8% (4)</td>
<td>5.6% (8)</td>
<td>21.1% (30)</td>
<td><strong>66.9% (95)</strong></td>
<td>3.5% (5)</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategy</td>
<td>2.8% (4)</td>
<td>10.6% (15)</td>
<td>13.4% (19)</td>
<td>68.3% (97)</td>
<td>4.9% (7)</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use native, drought tolerant plants and water conserving irrigation systems around District schools and buildings.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use more fuel efficient buses and vehicles in the District fleet and find ways to reduce miles driven.</td>
<td>2.1% (3)</td>
<td>5.7% (8)</td>
<td>17.9% (25)</td>
<td>72.9% (102)</td>
<td>1.4% (2)</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase more environmentally friendly paper, school supplies, furniture and other supplies.</td>
<td>2.1% (3)</td>
<td>8.5% (12)</td>
<td>20.4% (29)</td>
<td>64.8% (92)</td>
<td>4.2% (6)</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrate more green cleaning practices into janitorial operations.</td>
<td>1.4% (2)</td>
<td>9.9% (14)</td>
<td>19.7% (28)</td>
<td>64.8% (92)</td>
<td>4.2% (6)</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better manage power saving features on computers, printers and other school equipment.</td>
<td>2.1% (3)</td>
<td>5.7% (8)</td>
<td>22.9% (32)</td>
<td>61.4% (86)</td>
<td>7.9% (11)</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrate sustainability into the curriculum.</td>
<td>3.5% (5)</td>
<td>9.2% (13)</td>
<td>22.7% (32)</td>
<td>61.0% (86)</td>
<td>3.5% (5)</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide more opportunities for parents, students, district staff and teachers to work together on sustainability.</td>
<td>3.6% (5)</td>
<td>9.4% (13)</td>
<td>25.9% (36)</td>
<td>57.6% (80)</td>
<td>3.6% (5)</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<p>| answered question | 142 |
| skipped question  | 2   |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The District should develop a commonly shared vision/policy of sustainability to guide where it wants to go in its efforts</td>
<td>45.1%</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The District should develop a commonly shared definition of what sustainability means to the District</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The District should develop both a vision/policy and definition</td>
<td>33.1%</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visions/definitions are more appropriate for individual schools rather than the District as a whole</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No vision/policy or definition of sustainability is needed</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don't know</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

answered question 142
skipped question 2
5. Please rank from least to greatest the following potential challenges in implementing the SMP once it is completed. (1 being least challenging, 7 being most challenging)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenge</th>
<th>Response Average</th>
<th>Response Total</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack of staff/teacher time</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of staff/teacher education and training</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No clear leaders to take charge of implementation</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of student participation</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lack of up-front funding even if strategies will save money in the long run</strong></td>
<td><strong>5.39</strong></td>
<td><strong>712</strong></td>
<td><strong>132</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No clear benefits from the SMP</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of rewards/incentives</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don't know</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**answered question 133**

**skipped question 11**
6. What sorts of tools, incentives, programs or other ideas do you think would best motivate staff, teachers, parents, students and/or other stakeholder to participate in implementing the SMP? Multiple answers are allowed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Challenges&quot; between schools or departments to see who can save the most energy/resources</td>
<td>52.6%</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition of schools, individuals and/or departments for accomplishments</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building accomplishments into annual employee reviews</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing more specific inter-school or departmental green teams to implement the SMP (e.g. teachers, students, parents)</td>
<td>54.0%</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing incentives for staff and/or students to participate</td>
<td>61.3%</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requiring it as part of their daily tasks in their job</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don't know</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>answered question</td>
<td></td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skipped question</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. How would you best describe your level of willingness to participate in implementing the SMP?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not willing, I'm too busy with my other day-to-day responsibilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I'm willing to occasionally participate on a limited basis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I'd like to be regularly involved as part of a team in implementing the SMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I'd like to be a leader in implementing the SMP in my school or department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I'd like to be a key District Champion to help get the SMP implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am not sure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

answered question 138
skipped question 6
## APPENDIX C: BASELINE INVENTORY SUPPORTING INFORMATION

### MAJOR SOURCES OF DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electricity, natural gas, water, and sewer</td>
<td>Utility Manager queries via Laurel Mattrey, Sustainability Planner, (720) 423-4171, <a href="mailto:laurel_mattrey@dpsk12.org">laurel_mattrey@dpsk12.org</a></td>
<td>Calendar year 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar (PV) generation</td>
<td>Utility Manager queries via Laurel Mattrey, Sustainability Planner, (720) 423-4171, <a href="mailto:laurel_mattrey@dpsk12.org">laurel_mattrey@dpsk12.org</a></td>
<td>Calendar year 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleet fuels</td>
<td>Joe Precourt, Manager of Transportation 720-423-4063 <a href="mailto:Joe_precourt@dpsk12.org">Joe_precourt@dpsk12.org</a></td>
<td>Fiscal year 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid waste, recycling, and composting</td>
<td>Becky Goyton, Recycling Program Administrator, Denver Recycles, Solid Waste Management, City of Denver, (303) 446-3404 <a href="mailto:Becky.goyton@denvergov.org">Becky.goyton@denvergov.org</a></td>
<td>Fiscal year 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Name</td>
<td>Energy Use Intensity (kBtu/SF/yr)</td>
<td>Water Use Intensity (gal/SF/yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abraham Lincoln High</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration Building</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All City Stadium</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amesse Elementary</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ana Marie Sandoval</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archuleta</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbury Elementary</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashley Elementary</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balarat</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnum Elementary</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrett Elementary</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beach Court Elementary</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley Elementary</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromwell Elementary</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown Elementary</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Randolph School</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryant-Webster Dual Language</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson Elementary</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castro Elementary</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEC Middle College of Denver</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheltenham Elementary</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Name</td>
<td>Energy Use Intensity (kBtu/SF/yr)</td>
<td>Water Use Intensity (gal/SF/yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMS Community School</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cole Arts &amp; Science Academy</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colfax Elementary</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College View Elementary</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbian Elementary</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbine Elementary</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contemporary Learning Academy</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cory Elementary</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowell Elementary</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crofton Elementary</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del Pueblo Elementary</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denison Montessori</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver Center for Internatl Studies</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver Green School @ Fallis</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver School Of The Arts</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dora Moore</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOTS</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doull Elementary</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eagleton Elementary</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East High</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebert</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edison Elementary</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellis Elementary</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Name</td>
<td>Energy Use Intensity (kBtu/SF/yr)</td>
<td>Water Use Intensity (gal/SF/yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerson Street</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emily Griffith Opportunity School</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evie Dennis Campus Building #1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evie Dennis Campus Building #2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evie Dennis Campus Building #3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evie Dennis Campus Building #4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evie Dennis Campus Building #5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairmont Dual Language Academy</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairview Elementary</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farrell B Howell</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force Elementary</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford Elementary</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox Street Center</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front Range Aircraft Training Center</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garden Place Academy</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Washington High</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilpin Elementary</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Godsman Elementary</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldrick Elementary</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Beacon Middle School</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Ranch</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Valley Ranch Elementary</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenlee Elementary</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Name</td>
<td>Energy Use Intensity (kBtu/SF/yr)</td>
<td>Water Use Intensity (gal/SF/yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenwood Elementary</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gust Elementary</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallett Fundamental Academy</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton Middle</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrington Elementary</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry World School</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill Middle School of Arts &amp; Science</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilltop DPS Training Center</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilltop Fueling Station</td>
<td>2,071</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilltop Parking Lot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilltop PC Garage</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilltop Service Center</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilltop Trans Lounge - Brown</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilltop Trans Main Office - Blue</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilltop Trans Training - Red</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilltop Trans Training Office - Green</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilltop Transportation Bus Garage</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holm Elementary</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John F Kennedy High</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson Elementary</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaiser Elementary</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kepner Middle</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knapp Elementary</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Name</td>
<td>Energy Use Intensity (kBtu/SF/yr)</td>
<td>Water Use Intensity (gal/SF/yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knight</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kunsmiller Creative Arts Academy</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Middle</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Elementary</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowry Elementary</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual High</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marrama Elementary</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell Elementary</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mc Meen Elementary</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGlone Elementary</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mckinley-Thatcher Elementary</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrill Middle</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitchell Elementary</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLK Early College</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montbello High</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montclair School</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morey Middle</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munroe Elementary</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newlon Elementary</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North East ECE Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North High</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast Terminal</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland Elementary</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Name</td>
<td>Energy Use Intensity (kBtu/SF/yr)</td>
<td>Water Use Intensity (gal/SF/yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old NE Bus Terminal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.R.E.P. Center</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmer Elementary</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Hill Elementary</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philips Preparatory</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioneer Charter</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place Bridge Academy</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachel B Noel</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remington</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rishel Middle</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosedale Elementary</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabin World School</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuels Elementary</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmitt Elementary</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skinner Middle</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slavens Elementary</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smedley Elementary</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smiley Middle</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith Renaissance of the Arts</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South High</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southmoor Elementary</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steck Elementary</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stedman Elementary</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Name</td>
<td>Energy Use Intensity (kBtu/SF/yr)</td>
<td>Water Use Intensity (gal/SF/yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steele Elementary</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swansea Elementary</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teller Elementary</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Jefferson High</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traylor Academy</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trevista at Horace Mann</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Park Elementary</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valdez Elementary</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valverde Elementary</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waller</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West High</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westerly Creek</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whiteman Elementary</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whittier</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Roberts</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyman Elementary</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuma Street</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>